




S103C-1.1-U

Colorado Judicial Department

ANNUAL REPORT


July 1, 1989 - June 30, 1990

1 INITIATIVES

New One-Day, One-Trial Jury Service for Citizens

A new one-day, one-trial system for jury service was implemented on January 1, 1990. Citizens serve as jurors for one day or the length of one trial in any 12-month period. Citizens are no longer "on call" for weeks or months. Compensation for jurors also changed for the first time since 1955. Jurors who received either \$3 or \$6 per day now receive \$50 per day after the third day of service. Jurors' employers are now required to pay regular wages for the first three days of jury service. Provisions are made by the state for unemployed jurors and for hardship situations for both jurors and employers. Since 87 percent of all jurors are released after one day, the inconvenience to citizens and employers is greatly reduced under this new system.

FY '90 JURY PROGRAM



- 516,162 CITIZENS WERE SUMMONED FOR JURY SERVICE.
- 23,587 CITIZENS ACTUALLY SERVED ON JURY TRIALS.
- 5 PERCENT OF SUMMONED JURORS ACTUALLY SERVED.
- THE AVERAGE LENGTH OF A JURY TRIAL WAS 2.9 DAYS.
- 87 PERCENT OF THE JURORS FINISHED IN ONE DAY (WHETHER SELECTED OR NOT).



**COST
FOR JURY TRIALS:
\$1,133,969**



**COLLECTED
IN JURY FEES:
\$ 751,544**

Access to Adoption Records

A new law was implemented which provides for expanded access to adoption records by adoptees, siblings, birth parents and adoptive parents who are at least 21 years old. Persons seeking access to adoption records file a petition with the court. The court then appoints a specially trained third party called a "confidential intermediary," who tries to locate the missing person and arrange a meeting. If the sought-after person does not wish to be identified, the process is terminated, and neither person's identity is revealed. There has been widespread interest in this program around the state.

Standards for Treatment Program Help Reduce Domestic Violence

In 1988, in an effort to reduce domestic violence, the Chief Justice, pursuant to legislation, appointed a six-member voluntary state commission to draft a manual of treatment standards for court-ordered domestic violence perpetrators.

During FY '90, the manual was used by eight-member local boards appointed by the Chief Judge in each judicial district. Approximately 35 programs are now certified statewide.

In order to revise the manual, the commission held open public hearings and developed an advisory committee comprised of professional organization representatives and other community groups. This committee provides ongoing advice for revisions.

The commission also created a local board task force and published a directory of certified domestic violence programs available for all sentencing courts.

Committee Created to Enhance Probation Program


During FY '90, the state court administrator appointed an Intensive Supervision Probation (ISP) Advisory Committee to expand and to enhance the planning and administration of Colorado's ISP program. The committee is charged with reviewing ongoing operations of the program; identifying problem areas of design, implementation and results; recommending new program elements and strategies; and providing administrative assistance to the ISP administrator.

Expanded Access to Civil and Small Claims Courts

New laws enacted this year will increase citizens' access to the less expensive courts—county and small claims. Effective January 1, 1991, civil claims up to \$10,000 may be filed in county court, and claims up to \$3,500 may be filed in small claims court. Simplified rules of procedure are also provided for these cases.

New Public Information Pamphlets Available

Three new or updated pamphlets were issued to provide the public with more information about the court system. The small claims and mediation brochures were simplified and now include hotline information numbers for questions from the public. A new court fee and cost brochure was issued, listing the most frequently used fees and costs throughout the state court system. The easy-to-use format includes the statutory reference for the fee or cost, applicable taxes and unusual items that have no cost or fee.



AIDS Policy Developed for Judicial Department

The Judicial Department established a policy statement to provide basic guidelines for judges, district administrators, probation officers and other judicial employees in dealing with the problem of AIDS in various situations. The Judicial Department, in a cooperative effort with other state agencies, must balance a duty to protect the health of the general public with maintenance of the civil rights of the individuals specifically affected by AIDS and AIDS-related conditions.

An AIDS Policy Committee is developing an information curriculum and policy implementation guidelines. People who use the court system should not be discriminated against on the basis of a diagnosis or the perception that an individual has AIDS, or an AIDS-related condition, unless a restriction is authorized by statute.

The policy ensures that an individual's health condition is considered private and confidential and that appropriate measures should be taken to protect such information. Education programs are being developed regarding the latest research and information about AIDS and AIDS-related conditions.

For the statistical supplement to Colorado Judicial Department's Annual Report and for further information regarding the Colorado Judiciary, write to the Office of the State Court Administrator, or call 303/837-3658.

2 HIGHLIGHTS

Citizens Participate in Performance Evaluations of Judges

The courts have an impact on the daily lives of citizens, and citizens are taking an active role in assessing and improving the court system. During FY '90, 23 volunteer citizen commissions devoted an estimated 5,500 hours towards performance evaluations of 106 state judges, excluding Denver County Court. Colorado's first statewide judicial performance evaluations will be available to the public 30 days before the November 1990 retention elections.

The judicial performance commissions interviewed each judge and surveyed attorneys, litigants, jurors, court staff, probation officers and social service employees. The commissions held public hearings; interviewed pertinent individuals, such as district attorneys, public defenders and chief judges; and reviewed available docket statistics.

Each commission is comprised of ten members appointed by the Governor, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President of the Senate and the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court. Created by statute in 1988, these citizen commissions, of which six members are non-attorneys and four members are attorneys, give voters objective and comprehensive information about county, district and appellate judges. The commissions also provide feedback to judges and justices so that they can improve their professional skills as judicial officers.

Gender Fairness Examined in the Judicial System

After 18 months of study, the Colorado Supreme Court Task Force on Gender Bias issued its final report in March 1989. The 27-member task force found examples of bias that call for the judicial system to address the need for increased education about, and sensitivity to, gender bias as a permanent and ongoing concern.


The 160-page report highlights 46 recommendations for legal and judicial education, legislation and revision of court rules and policies. The Chief Justice immediately responded to each of the 46 recommendations. During FY '90, significant progress was made in implementing these recommendations. For example, a Gender Fairness Judicial Education Advisory Committee was created to make suggestions on the design and implementation of programs to educate judges about gender fairness issues identified in the report. The Chief Justice also transmitted the report with requests for review and ideas about implementing the recommendations to over 70 organizations, commissions, boards, committees, bar associations and legislative leaders.

Commission on Judicial Discipline

The Commission on Judicial Discipline is responsible for overseeing the ethical conduct of judges. The Colorado Constitution requires that two licensed attorneys, four non-attorney citizens, two district court judges and two county court judges be appointed to staggered four-year terms.

During calendar-year '89, seven complaints resulted in corrective actions against judges. In these cases, the commission determined that there was judicial misconduct and issued private letters of admonition or reprimand.

COMMISSION'S ACTIONS ON COMPLAINTS RESOLVED



Judicial Advisory Council

The Judicial Advisory Council advises the Colorado Supreme Court on policy matters and long-range planning. The 24 members of the council represent a cross section of the business, educational, governmental, labor and legal communities and serve staggered four-year terms.

In the past, the council has made recommendations concerning judicial performance, public information, appointment of chief judges, training of judges and other issues. The council is now devoting its attention to addressing issues the judiciary will face in the 21st century. A final report will be available by the spring of 1992.

Self-Help Information Centers for the Public

A state-of-the-art, touch-screen interactive computer system is helping solve the problem of the increasing number of cases initiated by *pro se* litigants, individuals not represented by lawyers. The Colorado Judicial Department completed this two-year project with the installation of automated, self-help information centers in three Denver metro area locations (Aurora, Littleton and Castle Rock) and in one location on the western slope (Mesa County).

The system serves two court areas: small claims, which are always *pro se* matters, and child support determination, which is gaining in popularity as a *pro se* process. In the privacy of an enclosed space, the litigant supplies the computer with the information to complete a small claims form or a child support worksheet. The computer generates printed forms with completed calculations.

The interactive information delivery system is now offered in English or Spanish.

This ensures that Colorado's Spanish-speaking population has equal access to small claims and child support information.

The project, funded by the State Justice Institute, recently received the Justice Achievement Award from the National Association of Court Managers. The project was recognized for its problem-solving capabilities, its production of measurable results and its ability to be replicated in other jurisdictions.

Child Support Enforcement Program

The Child Support Enforcement Program, a joint effort of the Department of Social Services and the Judicial Department, implements federal and state legislation designed to increase child support collections in Colorado. Child support collections rose from \$35.2 million in federal FY '88 to \$42.9 million in federal FY '89, a 21 percent increase.


Alternative Dispute Resolution

In FY '90, court-affiliated mediation and arbitration programs continued to help reduce litigation costs to the public and to provide a speedier resolution of many disputes.

Mediation

The Colorado Judicial Department's Office of Dispute Resolution provided mediation services in 13 counties. The office's 16 mediators handled more than 1,000 domestic and civil cases, with over 70 percent reaching a full or partial agreement. The domestic cases involved visitation, custody, support and property issues. The civil cases included personal injury, contract and commercial disputes. The average cost of mediation to each party in a domestic case was \$80, and the average cost to each party in a civil case was \$120.

MEDIATION CASELOAD



Arbitration

In FY '90, the mandatory arbitration pilot project continued to provide an alternative to the court process for civil cases with claims of \$50,000 or less. The project is available in the 1st, 3rd, 6th, 7th, 8th, 14th, 18th and 20th judicial districts. A total of 1,484 cases were referred to arbitration, and 369 were settled through the arbitration process. The arbitration program continues until June 30, 1991.

3 APPELLATE COURTS

Supreme Court

**Despite Sharp Increase in Case Filings,
Pending Cases Only Increase Slightly**

The Colorado Supreme Court is responsible for the administration of justice throughout the state court system. During FY '90, the Supreme Court heard approximately 180 oral arguments; disposed of 60 cases assigned to the court without oral arguments; wrote an average of 43 opinions per justice; and reviewed over 750 petitions for writ of certiorari.

Citizen volunteers, both lawyers and non-lawyers, serve on 26 boards, commissions, committees and task forces to assist the court in administrative, oversight and planning duties. For example, the Supreme Court licenses and disciplines Colorado attorneys. The Supreme Court Grievance Committee, funded by attorney registration fees, polices the profession and makes recommendations to the court concerning discipline of attorneys. Last year, the Supreme Court disbarred 17 attorneys, a 55 percent increase over the previous year, and suspended 31 attorneys' licenses to practice law, a 48 percent increase over the previous year.


In FY '90, Supreme Court case filings reached an all-time high of 1,300. This is an 8.5 percent increase over the previous year and a 27.2 percent increase in two years. More than half of the 1,300 filings were certiorari petitions from the Court of Appeals; the remainder of the filings were direct appellate filings from district courts.

Certiorari petitions have increased 33 percent in two years and 65 percent in three years. The Supreme Court must first review

these petitions to determine whether they will be granted or denied. When a review is granted, the case is processed like a direct appeal with the filing of briefs, the hearing of oral arguments and the issuance of an opinion.

Despite the large increase in case filings, there was only a slight increase in the number of pending cases in the Supreme Court at the close of the fiscal year. By disposing of 1,261 cases in FY '90, the court terminated more cases than in any previous year.

The Colorado Supreme Court has discretionary or certiorari review of certain district court and all Colorado Court of Appeals decisions. Requests to review decisions of the Court of Appeals constitute a majority of the Supreme Court's filings.



The court has direct appellate jurisdiction over cases in which the constitutionality of a statute, a municipal charter provision or an ordinance is in question; cases involving decisions of the Public Utilities Commission; writs of habeas corpus; cases involving adjudications of water rights; summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code; and prosecutorial appeals concerning search and seizure questions in pending criminal proceedings.

Court of Appeals

**Court Celebrates 20th Anniversary Year With
Record Number of New Filings**

Colorado court history was marked by a significant event during FY '90 with the Colorado Court of Appeals celebrating its 20th birthday.* This intermediate appellate court has grown to its present size of 16 judges over the last 20 years.

At the Court of Appeals, 2,270 new cases were filed. That is a 12.8 percent increase over FY '89 and a 16.6 percent increase in two years. The largest increase came in the number of industrial claims cases, which increased 28 percent. Almost half of the court's caseload is civil cases, which rose 8.4 percent during the year.

The Court of Appeals disposed of 2,144 cases, 384 through published opinion; 1,058 through unpublished opinion, which are opinions that are not of precedential value to the general public and the practicing bar and are issued to interested parties only; and 704 through dismissal or transfer to the Supreme Court.


The number of cases pending before the Court of Appeals increased by 126 cases. The court is considering the implementation of several new programs to reach the increased number of cases filed during the year.

* The first Court of Appeals, consisting of three judges, was created in 1891 by the General Assembly for an undetermined term. It was established to assist the Supreme Court in clearing up its backlog and was abolished in 1904. The second Court of Appeals, consisting of five judges, was formed in 1911 and discontinued in 1915. The third Court of Appeals, consisting of ten judges, was statutorily recreated in 1970.


The Colorado Court of Appeals is an intermediate appellate court composed of 16 judges. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court appoints one member of the Court of Appeals to serve as Chief Judge. The 15 associate judges sit in five, three-judge divisions to hear and to determine all matters before the court. The Chief Judge sits on all cases where associate judges must recuse themselves. Also, as necessary, the Chief Judge hears cases to prevent backlog.

The jurisdiction of the court is determined by Colorado statutes. It is a decisional court dedicated to deciding cases and correcting trial court errors in accordance with existing laws. The court hears cases from all district courts in the state and from the probate and juvenile courts of the City and County of Denver. It also has initial jurisdiction to review final decisions of 25 administrative agencies. Decisions of the Court of Appeals may be reviewed by the Supreme Court.

SUPREME COURT CASES



COURT OF APPEALS CASES



4 TRIAL COURTS


District Courts

Ripple Effect of War on Drugs Makes Presence Felt


While the "War on Drugs" is being waged with increased drug enforcement and toughened drug laws, the impact on the judiciary has been tremendous. The declared "War on Drugs" is making its presence felt with skyrocketing drug case filings throughout the state. Felony drug cases have now increased 203 percent since FY '84 and make up nearly 20 percent of the criminal filings as compared to nine percent in FY '84.

**CRIMINAL AND DRUG-RELATED CASES
FISCAL YEARS 1984 - 1990**

CRIMINAL FILINGS



DRUG FILINGS




Foreclosure Filings Stabilize

While the complete economic picture is far from clear it appears that a decrease in the number of foreclosure filings may mark the end of an unstable real estate market. The number of foreclosure filings in Colorado's district courts decreased 23 percent from FY '89. Two years ago foreclosures made up 40 percent of the civil caseload; now the total is 36 percent.

Echo of Baby Boomer Generation Makes Its Mark in Juvenile Filings

Children of baby boomers are making their mark in juvenile courts across the state. Demographic statistics reveal that an increase in the number of juveniles in the at-risk ages of 10 - 18 are pushing the number of juvenile filings to greater numbers. Juvenile filings presently count for 17 percent of the total filings in district court. This figure illustrates a sharp rise in juvenile cases from 16,866 in FY '84, to 23,731 in FY '90, a 41 percent increase.

**JUVENILE FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS
FISCAL YEARS 1984 - 1990**



Filings and Terminations in District Courts


Total filings and terminations in district courts have risen 15 percent and 18 percent since FY '85, bringing the year's totals to 137,279 and 131,821.

County Courts

County Courts Increase Citizens' Access

Over 377,000 cases were filed in Colorado's county courts in FY '90. This is an increase of 22 percent since FY '85 and shows that citizens are using county courts in great numbers. Proceedings in county court are established to be as expeditious and efficient as possible for litigants. Self-representation is a common occurrence in county courts, and citizens are becoming more comfortable with handling their own cases.

**FILINGS IN COUNTY COURTS
FISCAL YEARS 1985 - 1990**



Colorado's trial courts consist of district and county courts with general and limited jurisdiction, respectively. The district courts handle domestic relations, civil, probate, juvenile, mental health and criminal cases. The county courts hear civil matters in amounts up to \$5,000, traffic cases, traffic infractions, misdemeanors and small claims cases. Small claims court, a division of county court, provides an inexpensive forum for citizens to resolve civil disputes involving claims of less than \$2,000.

FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS IN DISTRICT COURTS		
FISCAL YEARS 1985 - 1990		
FY	FILINGS	TERMINATIONS
'85	119,847	111,967
'86	137,014	128,859
'87	136,550	132,292
'88	142,123	142,310
'89	142,102	139,642
'90	137,279	131,821

5 PROBATION

The increase in criminal cases, along with overcrowded conditions in Colorado's jails and prisons, has seriously impacted the caseload of probation departments. During FY '90, Colorado's probation officers supervised 26,987 adult and juvenile criminals, a 15 percent increase over FY '89.

Sentencing

After a guilty verdict or guilty plea, the court sets a sentence authorized by statute. In determining a sentence the court considers the seriousness of the crime, prior crimes and any aggravating or mitigating factors, particularly injury to a victim. A pre-sentence report, prepared by a probation officer, summarizes the result of an investigation into the circumstances of the crime and the offender's background. This document supplies the court with the information needed to make an appropriate sentencing decision.


The range of available community sentences vary from a minimal supervision program, emphasizing payment of fines and costs, to a highly restrictive intensive program, utilizing electronic monitoring devices. The court may also sentence offenders to halfway houses or residential community corrections programs. The most restrictive sentences involve long-term imprisonment within the Department of Corrections.

Probation Programs

Probation in Colorado, while sharing the basic goal of all criminal justice agencies—reducing the incidence and impact of crime—has its own mandated functions that are separate and distinct from other criminal justice agencies. The two primary functions of probation are (1) providing pre-sentence information to the courts and (2) supervising offenders who remain in the community instead of prison.

The Colorado Judicial Department administers the adult and juvenile probation

YEAR-END PROBATION CASELOAD ON SUPERVISION



programs in all 22 judicial districts. Since FY '85 the department has implemented new programs to enhance public safety and increase the delivery of services to appropriate offenders. These programs include:

- Standardized assessments of offender risk and need for services;
- Standardized case management system to assure appropriate delivery of services;
- Operational standards and annual compliance reviews;

- Intensive supervision programs, including electronic monitoring and on-site drug testing;
- Literacy-building programs; and
- Domestic violence and sex offender treatment programs.

Continued growth in probation population may require reduced services during FY '91, unless additional resources are made available to the Judicial Department.

Offender Services Fund

The Offender Services Fund was created in FY '87, with increased supervision fees earmarked for the development of adjunct probation programs. With these funds, the Judicial Department modeled adult literacy programs in Denver and Alamosa; domestic violence programs in Denver and Arapahoe County; and a sex offender treatment program in Golden. These programs provide treatment and educational opportunities to offenders, enhancing the overall rehabilitative efforts of the probation department.

Intensive Supervision Program

Prison overcrowding and fiscal constraints led to the development of cost-effective, community-based alternatives to prison. This option costs less than imprisonment and meets the objectives of punishment, maintenance of public safety and rehabilitation opportunities.

The Colorado Legislature created the Intensive Supervision Program (ISP) in 1986, following the Judicial Department's two-year pilot project. In FY '90 prison overcrowding and fiscal constraints continued to foster the development of community-based sentencing options.

During FY '90, approximately 450 offenders were sentenced to the ISP program, with over 50 percent successfully completing the program's strict requirements. Of those offenders who failed, only seven percent committed new crimes. Violations of the program, often detected by use of electronic monitoring devices and on-site drug testing, are indications of the program's concern for public safety.

The expanded use of electronic monitoring devices and drug testing technologies enhances supervision capability. Electronic monitoring assists in determining offenders' compliance with the program. The program may be used to restrict offenders to their homes 24 hours per day or to monitor imposed curfews.

Specialized Drug Offender Program

During FY '91, the Judicial Department will pilot a specialized supervision program for drug-abusing offenders. This new program will be piloted in several locations throughout the state and will emphasize increased supervision, drug testing and innovative group-counseling methods.

ANNUAL COST OF SENTENCING ALTERNATIVES PER OFFENDER*

* Cost established by Joint Budget Committee staff in 1988.

** Cost established by Judicial Department in 1989.

ADULT PROBATION
\$856

JUVENILE PROBATION
\$1,198

INTENSIVE SUPERVISION PROBATION
\$2,218 **

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS
\$9,060

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
\$18,405

DEPARTMENT OF INSTITUTIONS
\$35,405

6 ADMINISTRATION

Financial Resources

The FY '90 budget for the Judicial Department increased six percent over FY '89 and brought the department's budget to \$89 million; this is approximately two percent of the state's overall budget.

The Judicial Department is labor intensive as 80 percent of the budget is for salary and benefits. Total department personnel strength grew to 1,891 in FY '90.

This department generates a considerable amount of revenue for the state, victims, cities and counties. The revenue collected for the state's general fund increased from \$17.5 million in FY '89 to \$18.1 million in FY '90, while total revenues rose from \$40 million to \$42.4 million. It is expected that the collections investigator program, which has received tremendous support from judges and other staff, will cause revenues to increase even more.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT EXPENDITURES

COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL*

\$5.4 MILLION

COURT COSTS

\$3.6 MILLION

JURY COSTS

\$1.2 MILLION

* Dependency and neglect, guardian ad litem, mental health and other appointments

The Progress of Technology

The use of computers and other technology is essential in order to remain effective and efficient in the face of societal changes and increasing caseloads. During FY '90, significant progress was made by expanding automation and exploring new technology to aid court functions.

The Office of the State Court Administrator implemented the current on-line system in Garfield County Court and Water Court, Pitkin County Court, Adams County Court and La Plata, District and County Courts.

The State Court Administrator's Office continued installation of a network of IBM AS 400 minicomputers in each judicial district

throughout the state. Development progressed on the two major software packages designed for these computers. The new automated court case management system was piloted in Weld County, with the goal of complete statewide implementation in FY '93. The installation of automated probation case management software in El Paso, Weld and Larimer counties enhanced case management capabilities for line and supervisory probation officers. This increased the ability to collect restitution and to monitor offenders in the community.

Conversion from the WANG VS-100 system to the IBM AS 400 enhanced the Supreme Court's word processing and case processing capability resulting in more efficient and accurate handling of cases.

Developed in FY '90, the "help desk" became the single, most important contact for all field users of computer services. The Colorado Legislature's budget appropriation provided 158 personal computers to local courts during FY '90. The PCs provide support to court personnel using the statewide mainframe system. The State Court Administrator's Office installed the equipment, trained 237 PC users and fielded over 2,300 calls through its "help desk" to offer personalized support to local professionals.

In the future, both the court case management system and the probation case management system will also provide statewide database information that will be accessible to other agencies and the public.

REVENUE GENERATED*

\$42,406,270

1. GENERAL FUND
\$18,135,319 (43%)
2. VICTIMS ASSISTANCE/
VICTIMS COMPENSATION
\$9,516,093 (22%)
3. HIGHWAY USERS
TRUST FUND**
\$6,273,748 (15%)
4. RESTITUTION
\$5,607,744 (13%)
5. CITIES & COUNTIES
\$2,798,879 (7%)
6. GAME, FISH & PARKS
\$74,487 (Less than 1%)

* Revenues generated by the department support the state's budget.

** Driving under the influence, collection service fees and traffic fines and forfeitures

ALLOCATION OF THE BUDGET

\$89,000,000 (Approximate)

1. TRIAL COURTS
55.5 Million (61%)
2. PROBATION SERVICES
16.2 Million (18%)
3. ADMINISTRATION &
SPECIAL PURPOSE
8.2 Million (10%)
4. APPELLATE COURTS
5.0 Million (6%)
5. DATA PROCESSING
4.1 Million (5%)

Professional Development

Designed to recognize and foster the professionalism of judges and court personnel through education, nearly 1600 Judicial Department employees and judges received instruction and training during FY '90.

As required by statute, 275 justices, judges, and selected senior judges and referees/commissioners attended the annual judicial conference, a business and educational event. Juvenile judges and commissioners/referees also held annual training conferences.

Ten judges attended the National Judicial College in Reno, Nevada, for extensive judicial training; 14 newly appointed judges attended orientation programs. A cadre of six judges are incorporating a segment on "Managing Trials Effectively" into the new judges orientation.

Management and supervisory skills training took place for district administrators, chief probation officers and probation supervisors.

Two statewide probation institutes were both attended by 150 probation officers, probation supervisors and chief probation officers. Each five-day conference is designed to establish competency in performance of job duties. Subject matter for the conferences included drug recognition, liability issues and officer safety.

Training continued for probation officers in the case management classification system, a qualitative tool administered to determine appropriate intervention strategies for offenders.

7 VICTIM RESTITUTION, COMPENSATION AND ASSISTANCE

Probation Restitution Exceeds \$5.5 Million Mark.

The Judicial Department plays a major role in providing direct and support services to victims of crime statewide. Court-ordered restitution from defendants directly compensates victims for losses suffered.

Collections of restitution from probationers increased 19 percent, with a total of \$5,607,744. The overall increase was a cooperative effort among the judges, probation officers, collections investigators and district administrators, as well as increased public awareness of victim-assistance programs.


Victim-compensation programs offer additional relief to victims of crime from fees assessed against all defendants. Victim-assistance programs are funded by surcharges on fines which provide victim-witness coordinators, counseling, training and referral services.

Design Services - Diane Lauch

Cover photo by Jim Johnson

COLORADO JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
Office of the State Court Administrator
1301 Pennsylvania Street, #300
Denver, CO 80203-2416


FY '90 RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS BY JUDICIAL DISTRICT



* 2nd district Adult \$357,728 - Juvenile \$102,850

MILLIONS DISBURSED TO VICTIMS

Through the judicial system, victims of crime were compensated \$14,067,861 in FY '90.



Bulk Rate
U.S. Postage
PAID
Permit #738
Denver, CO

S1035-1.2-45



Colorado Judicial Department

ANNUAL REPORT

July 1, 1989 - June 30, 1990


Statistical Supplement

LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
ORGANIZATIONAL CHART	1
<u>SUPREME COURT</u>	
LIST OF SUPREME COURT JUSTICES	3
TABLE 1. SUPREME COURT CASELOAD, FY 1971-72 to FY 1989-90.	5
TABLE 2. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE FILINGS, FY 1971-72 to FY 1989-90.	6
TABLE 3. DISTRIBUTION BY TYPE OF CASE FILED, FY 1983-84 TO FY 1989-90	6
TABLE 4. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE TERMINATIONS, FY 1971-72 to FY 1989-90.	7
TABLE 5. WRITTEN OPINIONS, FY 1985-86 to FY 1989-90.	7
TABLE 6. DISTRIBUTION OF CASES AT ISSUE THE FINAL DAY OF FY 1989-90.	8
TABLE 7. DISTIRUBTION OF CASES NOT AT ISSUE THE FINAL DAY OF FY 1989-90	8
<u>COURT OF APPEALS</u>	
LIST OF COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES.	9
TABLE 8. CASELOAD OF THE COURT OF APPEALS, FY 1971-72 to FY 1989-90.	11
TABLE 9. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE FILINGS, FY 1989-90.	12
TABLE 10. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE TERMINATIONS, FY 1989-90.	12
<u>DISTRICT COURT</u>	
LIST OF DISTRICT COURT JUDGES.	15
TABLE 11. FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS COMPARED TO PREVIOUS YEAR	17
TABLE 12. DISTRICT COURT CASELOAD, FY 1983-84 TO FY 1989-90	18
TABLE 13. FILINGS, TERMINATIONS AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM FY 1988-89.to FY 1989-90.	19
TABLE 14. FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS BY CASE TYPE FOR EACH COUNTY.	20-23
TABLE 15. COURT AND JURY TRIALS.	24-25
TABLE 16. DOMESTIC RELATIONS FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE, FY 1989-90	26-27
TABLE 17. DOMESTIC RELATIONS TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE, FY 1989-90.	28-29
TABLE 18. CIVIL FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE, FY 1989-90.	30-31
TABLE 19. CIVIL TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE, FY 1989-90	32-33
TABLE 20. PROBATE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE, FY 1989-90.	34-35
TABLE 21. PROBATE TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE, FY 1989-90	36-37
TABLE 22. JUVENILE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE, FY 1989-90	38-39
TABLE 23. JUVENILE TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE, FY 1989-90.	40-41
TABLE 24. MENTAL HEALTH FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE, FY 1989-90.	42-43
TABLE 25. MENTAL HEALTH TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE, FY 1989-90.	44-45
TABLE 26. CRIMINAL OFFENSE FILINGS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE, FY 1989-90	46-47
TABLE 27. CRIMINAL OFFENSE TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE, FY 1989-90	48-49
TABLE 28. OFFENSE, NON-OFFENSE, AND TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS, FY 1989-90.	50-51
TABLE 29. OFFENSE, NON-OFFENSE, AND TOTAL CRIMINAL TERMINATIONS, FY 1989-90	52-53

<u>TABLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>COUNTY COURT</u>	
LIST OF COUNTY COURT JUDGES	57
TABLE 30. FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS COMPARED TO PREVIOUS YEAR.	59
TABLE 31. COUNTY COURT CASELOAD, FY 1983-84 TO FY 1989-90.	60
TABLE 32. FILINGS, TERMINATIONS AND PERCENT CHANGE FROM FY 1988-89.	61
TABLE 33. FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS BY CASE TYPE FOR EACH COUNTY	62-65
TABLE 34. COURT AND JURY TRIALS	66-67
TABLE 35. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTY COURT CIVIL CASE FILINGS, BY MONEY AMOUNT, FY 1989-90	68-69
TABLE 36. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTY COURT SMALL CLAIMS FILINGS, BY MONEY AMOUNT, FY 1989-90	70-71
<u>WATER COURT</u>	
TABLE 37. NUMBER OF FILINGS AND CLAIMS BY DIVISION.	75
TABLE 38. WATER COURT CASELOAD, FY 1983-84 TO FY 1989-90	76
<u>PROBATION</u>	
TABLE 39. SUPERVISION AND INVESTIGATION CASELOADS, FY 1984-85 TO FY 1989-90	79
TABLE 40. SUPERVISION CASELOAD BY DISTRICT FOR FY 1989-90	80
TABLE 41. DISTRICT COURT ADULT PROBATION INVESTIGATIONS.	81
TABLE 42. COUNTY COURT ADULT PROBATION INVESTIGATIONS.	81
TABLE 43. JUVENILE PROBATION INVESTIGATIONS BY DISTRICT	82
TABLE 44. ADULT AVERAGE DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASELOAD BY SUPERVISION.	83
TABLE 45. JUVENILE AVERAGE DISTRIBUTION OF ACTIVE CASELOAD BY SUPERVISION	83
<u>ADMINISTRATION</u>	
TABLE 46. ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE STATE FOR FY 1989-90	84
TABLE 47. COLORADO'S APPROPRIATIONS BY DEPARTMENT FOR FY 1990-91.	85
ENDNOTES.	86

The Colorado court system consists of the Supreme Court, an intermediate Court of Appeals, district courts and county courts. Each county has both a district court and a county court. Special probate and juvenile courts created by the constitution exist in the City and County of Denver. Colorado statutes also authorize locally funded municipal courts with jurisdiction limited to municipal ordinance violation.



SUPREME COURT

SUPREME COURT JUSTICES

Chief Justice Joseph R. Quinn

William H. Erickson

Luis D. Rovira

George E. Lohr

Howard M. Kirshbaum

Anthony F. Vollack

Mary J. Mullarkey

Table 1.

Supreme Court Caseload FY 1972 to 1990

Fiscal Year	Cases			Cases	
	Pending July 1	Cases Filed	Total Caseload	Cases Terminated	Pending June 30
				(a)	
1971-72	511	517	1,028	605	423
1972-73	423	606	1,029	602	427
1973-74	427	611	1,038	559	479
1974-75	479	553	1,032	666	366
1975-76	366	651	1,017	674	343
1976-77	343	735	1,078	704	374
1977-78	374	854	1,228	893	335
1978-79 (b)	350	941	1,291	877	414
1979-80	414	950	1,364	893	471
1980-81	471	966	1,437	979	458
1981-82	458	1,052	1,510	1,006	504
1982-83	504	971	1,475	1,038	437
1983-84	437	1,069	1,506	950	556
1984-85	556	967	1,523	1,011	512
1985-86	512	988	1,500	973	527
1986-87	527	970	1,497	1,036	461
1987-88	461	1,022	1,483	1,001	482
1988-89	482	1,198	1,680	1,215	465
1989-90	465	1,300	1,765	1,261	504

(a) terminations include cases transferred to Court of Appeals

(b) revised pending figure

Supreme Court

Filings and Terminations FY 1980-1990




Table 2. Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court
FY 1972 to 1990

Fiscal Year	Direct Filings	Transfers		Total Filings
		from Court of Appeals	Reopened Cases	
1971-72	508	9	0	517
1972-73	582	20	4	606
1973-74	575	30	6	611
1974-75	517	33	3	553
1975-76	569	81	1	651
1976-77	658	75	2	735
1977-78	784	66	4	854
1978-79	852	89	0	941
1979-80	817	130	3	950
1980-81	900	54	12	966
1981-82	981	64	7	1,052
1982-83	918	52	1	971
1983-84	1,023	37	9	1,069
1984-85	933	31	3	967
1985-86	954	33	1	988
1986-87	952	16	2	970
1987-88	1,006	14	2	1,022
1988-89	1,182	15	1	1,198
1989-90	1,284	15	1	1,300

Table 3. Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court
FY 1984 to 1990

	Fiscal Year						
	83-84	84-85	85-86	86-87	87-88	88-89	89-90
Criminal Appeals	82	52	63	63	45	12	6
Original Proceedings	310	273	293	288	259	304	318
Petitions in Certiorari	502	493	487	466	565	687	751
Civil Appeals (a)	54	50	51	51	30	56	55
Interlocutories	34	23	24	25	14	32	24
Statutory Reviews (b)	4	4	0	3	2	2	3
Habeas Corpus	20	9	17	15	13	18	30
Bail Reduction	0	1	1	2	2	0	0
Non-Adversary Sentence Review	1	1	0	1	2	0	0
Interrogatories	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Reapportionment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reopened Cases	9	3	1	2	2	1	1
Rules 21.1	1	1	3	2	1	2	3
Judicial Discipline or Disability	2	1	2	0	4	2	0
Request for Stay Pending Appeal	0	2	1	0	2	0	0
Unauthorized Practice	6	3	0	3	1	3	3
Original Proceedings							
In Discipline	34	43	42	45	77	73	96
Disability	10	7	3	3	3	5	10
Total	1,069	967	988	970	1,022	1,198	1,300

(a) Includes P.U.C. decisions, water cases and constitutional questions.

(b) includes Ballot Title Review

Table 4. Distribution of Case Terminations in the Supreme Court

Fiscal Year	Disposed of by Written Opinion	Disposed of Without Opinion	Total Dispositions	Transferred to Court of Appeals	Total Terminations
1971-72	352	245	597	8	605
1972-73	291	308	599	3	602
1973-74	303	253	556	3	559
1974-75	343	315	658	8	666
1975-76	293	377	670	4	674
1976-77	285	411	696	8	704
1977-78	322	564	886	7	893
1978-79	284	587	871	6	877
1979-80	291	596	887	6	893
1980-81	294	683	977	2	979
1981-82	279	722	1,001	5	1,006
1982-83	311	722	1,033	5	1,038
1983-84	217	731	948	2	950
1984-85	239	764	1,003	8	1,011
1985-86	222	745	967	6	973
1986-87	238	793	1,031	5	1,036
1987-88	244	749	993	8	1,001
1988-89	226	976	1,202	13	1,215
1989-90	237	1,008	1,245	16	1,261

Table 5.

Supreme Court Written Opinions

	Number of Opinions Announcing Judgment of the Court	Number of Partial Concurrences and Partial Dissents	Number of Dissents	Number of Special Concurrences	Total Number of Written Opinions
1985-86 (a)	207	16	22	27	272
1986-87 (b)	222	5	40	19	286
1987-88 (c)	222	12	31	15	280
1988-89 (d)	221	11	42	17	291
1989-90 (e)	230	10	44	18	302

(a) Disposed of 222 cases.

(b) Disposed of 245 cases.

(c) Disposed of 229 cases.

(d) Disposed of 234 cases.

(e) Disposed of 239 cases.

Table 6.

Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue the Final Day of the Fiscal Year 1989 - 90

	<u>Certiorari</u>	<u>Appeals</u>	<u>Grievances</u>	<u>Total</u>
Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	27	13	0	40
Cases at issue awaiting submission of briefs	0	7	0	7
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	38	14	0	52
Cases submitted without argument	2	14	11	27
Opinions announced awaiting action on rehearing	7	7	0	14
Total	74	55	11	140

Table 7.

Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue the Final Day of the Fiscal Year 1989 - 90

<u>Pending Cases</u>	<u>Total</u>
Certiorari granted, awaiting briefs on merits	23
Certiorari pending	255
Appeals pending	52
Original Proceedings pending	1
Interlocutory appeals pending	1
Grievance Matters Pending	19
Unauthorized Practice Pending	4
Judicial Discipline Pending	0
Total	355

COURT OF APPEALS

COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES

Chief Judge Aurel M. Kelly

Charles D. Pierce

Donald P. Smith

Alan L. Sternberg

Dale P. Tursi

Karen S. Metzger

John A. Criswell

Claus J. Hume

Raymond D. Jones

Leonard P. Plank

Peter H. Ney

Janice B. Davidson

Jose D.L. Marquez

Harold D. Reed

Edwin G. Ruland

Frank N. Dubofsky




Table 8.

Caseload of the Court of Appeals FY 1972 to 1990

Fiscal Year	Cases Pending July 1	Cases Filed	Total Casesload	Cases Terminations	Cases Pending June 30
1971-72	376	426	802	447	355
1972-73	355	468	823	467	356
1973-74	356	444	800	441	359
1974-75	359	858	1,217	625	592
1975-76	592	915	1,507	833	674
1976-77	674	1,128	1,802	918	884
1977-78	884	1,119	2,003	1,000	1,003
1978-79	1,003	1,214	2,217	1,119	1,098
1979-80	1,098	1,207	2,305	1,164	1,141
1980-81	1,139	1,285	2,424	1,213	1,211
1981-82	1,211	1,512	2,723	1,320	1,403
1982-83	1,403	1,453	2,856	1,381	1,475
1983-84	1,475	1,580	3,055	1,411	1,644
1984-85	1,644	1,631	3,275	1,396	1,879
1985-86	1,879	1,917	3,796	1,630	2,166
1986-87	2,166	1,930	4,096	1,602	2,494
1987-88	2,494	1,946	4,440	2,034	2,406
1988-89	2,406	2,012	4,418	2,193	2,225
1989-90	2,225	2,269	4,494	2,105	2,389

Court of Appeals Filings and Terminations FY 1980-1990




Table 9.

Distribution of Case Filings in The Court of Appeals
For Fiscal Years 1989 - 90 and Percent Change

	FY 1989	FY 1990	% Change
Criminal	573	652	13.8%
Civil	1,146	1,242	8.4%
ICAO *	293	375	28.0%
Total	2,012	2,269	12.8%

Table 10.

Distribution of Case Terminations in The Court of Appeals
For Fiscal Years 1989 - 90 and Percent Change

	FY 1989	FY 1990	% Change
Published Opinions	430	384	-10.7%
Unpublished Opinions	1,077	1,017	-5.6%
Dismissed	672	687	2.2%
Transferred to Supreme Court	14	17	21.4%
Total	2,193	2,105	-4.0%

* Industrial Claims Appeals Office

DISTRICT COURT

THE DISTRICT COURT JUDGES

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gaspar F. Perricone, Chief Judge
Kenneth E. Barnhill, Jr.
Christopher J. Munch
Henry E. Nieto
Ruthanne Polidori
Michael C. Villano
William P. DeMoulin
James D. Zimmerman

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

John N. McMullen, Chief Judge
Federico C. Alvarez(1)
Harold J. Bayless
John Brooks, Jr.
Edward E. Carelli
John W. Coughlin
Clifton A. Flowers
Robert P. Fullerton
Lynne M. Hufnagel
Robert S. Hyatt
Paul A. Markson, Jr.
Warren O. Martin
William G. Meyer
Larry J. Naves
Connie L. Peterson
J. Stephen Phillips
Nancy E. Rice
Sandra I. Rothenberg
Daniel B. Sparr
Richard T. Spriggs

DENVER JUVENILE COURT

Orrelle R. Weeks, Presiding Judge
David E. Ramirez
Dana U. Wakefield

DENVER PROBATE COURT

Field C. Benton, Presiding Judge

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Jesse C. Manzanares, Chief Judge
Claude W. Appel

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Donald E. Campbell, Chief Judge
Douglas E. Anderson
James M. Franklin
Richard V. Hall
Mary Jane Looney(2)
Gilbert A. Martinez(3)
David D. Parrish
Steven T. Pelican
Matt M. Railey
D. Richard Toth

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

William L. Jones, Chief Judge
Richard H. Hart
William T. Ruckriegle

SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Al H. Haas, Chief Judge
James D. Childress

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Jerry D. Lincoln, Chief Judge
Robert A. Brown
Thomas A. Goldsmith

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

John-David Sullivan, Chief Judge
William F. Dressel
James J. Hiatt
Arnaud Newton

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gavin D. Litwiller, Chief Judge
Judson E. DeVilbiss
Thomas W. Ossola

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

John R. Tracey, Chief Judge
Eugene T. Halaas(4)
Charles D. Maes
Gerald A. Marroney(5)
Alex J. Martinez
Richard D. Robb

ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

O. Edward Schlatter, Chief Judge
John E. Anderson III
Kenneth M. Plotz

TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Robert W. Ogburn, Chief Judge
O. John Kuenhold

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James R. Leh, Chief Judge
Steven E. Shinn
Douglas R. Vannoy
Joseph H. Weatherby

FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Richard P. Doucette, Chief Judge
Rebecca L. Kourlis

FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Norman L. Arends, Chief Judge
Garth L. Nieschburg

SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

M. Jon Kolomitz, Chief Judge
Robert E. Blackburn

SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Philip F. Roan, Chief Judge
Harlan R. Bockman
Richard M. Borchers
Thomas R. Ensor
Donald W. Marshall, Jr.
Michael A. Obermeyer
John E. Popovich, Jr.

EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Joyce S. Steinhardt, Chief Judge
Thomas J. Curry
Deanna E. Hickman
John P. Leopold
Thomas Levi
James F. Macrum(6)
Jack F. Smith
Kenneth K. Stuart
Richard D. Turelli
Michael J. Watanabe

NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Robert A. Behrman, Chief Judge
John H. Althoff
Jonathan W. Hays
William L. West

TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Joseph J. Bellipanni, Chief Judge
Roxanne Bailin
Richard C. McLean
Murray Richtel
Morris W. Sandstead, Jr.

TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Charles A. Buss, Chief Judge
David Boltger
Nicholas Massaro, Jr.

TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Grace S. Merlo, Chief Judge

FOOTNOTES

- (1) Replaced Leslie M. Lawson
- (2) Replaced John Gallagher
- (3) Replaced Bernard Baker
- (4) Replaced Jack F. Seavy
- (5) Replaced Patti O'Rourke
- (6) Replaced George B. Lee, Jr.

Table 11. District Court Filings and Terminations
Compared to Previous Year

Filings			
	FY 88-89	FY 89-90	Percent Change
Domestic Relations	28,419	29,014	2.1%
Civil	58,647	49,394	-15.8%
Probate	9,120	10,689	17.2%
Juvenile	22,102	23,731	7.4%
Mental Health	3,510	3,397	-3.2%
Criminal	20,304	21,054	3.7%
Total	142,102	137,279	-3.4%

Terminations			
	FY 88-89	FY 89-90	Percent Change
Domestic Relations	27,889	28,827	3.4%
Civil	61,347	49,618	-19.1%
Probate	8,537	8,623	1.0%
Juvenile	18,520	19,492	5.2%
Mental Health	3,512	3,687	5.0%
Criminal	19,837	21,574	8.8%
Total	139,642	131,821	-5.6%

District Court Filings and Terminations FY 1980-1990




Table 12.

District Court Caseload - FY 1983-84 to FY 1989-90

	83-84*	84-85*	85-86	86-87	87-88	88-89	89-90
DOMESTIC RELATIONS							
Cases Pending July 1	22,903	23,596	26,005	26,362	26,152	26,342	26,872
New Cases Filed	32,841	31,109	31,472	28,851	29,101	28,419	29,014
Total Caseload	55,744	54,705	57,477	55,213	55,253	54,761	55,886
Cases Terminated	30,632	28,700	31,115	29,061	28,911	27,889	28,827
Cases Pending June 30	25,112	26,005	26,362	26,152	26,342	26,872	27,059
CIVIL							
Cases Pending July 1	31,618	30,991	34,656	42,041	43,817	40,995	38,295
New Cases Filed	38,336	42,739	57,151	58,244	62,313	58,647	49,394
Total Caseload	69,954	73,730	91,807	100,285	106,130	99,642	87,689
Cases Terminated	36,864	39,074	49,766	56,468	65,135	61,347	49,618
Cases Pending June 30	33,090	34,656	42,041	43,817	40,995	38,295	38,071
PROBATE							
Cases Pending July 1	23,028	23,240	23,583	21,301	21,522	21,091	21,674
New Cases Filed	7,980	8,101	7,998	8,515	8,857	9,120	10,689
Total Caseload	31,008	31,341	31,581	29,816	30,379	30,211	32,363
Cases Terminated	7,109	7,758	10,280	8,294	9,288	8,537	8,623
Cases Pending June 30	23,899	23,583	21,301	21,522	21,091	21,674	23,740
JUVENILE							
Cases Pending July 1	22,852	23,166	23,795	26,145	28,946	31,810	35,392
New Cases Filed	16,866	18,121	20,317	20,630	20,043	22,102	23,731
Total Caseload	39,718	41,287	44,112	46,775	48,989	53,912	59,123
Cases Terminated	16,745	17,492	17,967	17,829	17,179	18,520	19,492
Cases Pending June 30	22,973	23,795	26,145	28,946	31,810	35,392	39,631
MENTAL HEALTH							
Cases Pending July 1	1,359	1,467	1,409	1,205	1,249	851	849
New Cases Filed	2,784	2,926	3,113	3,206	3,378	3,510	3,397
Total Caseload	4,143	4,393	4,522	4,411	4,627	4,361	4,246
Cases Terminated	2,701	2,984	3,317	3,162	3,776	3,512	3,687
Cases Pending June 30	1,442	1,409	1,205	1,249	851	849	559
CRIMINAL							
Cases Pending July 1	17,315	17,254	18,146	18,695	18,321	18,731	19,198
New Cases Filed	15,785	16,851	16,963	17,104	18,431	20,304	21,054
Total Caseload	33,100	34,105	35,109	35,799	36,752	39,035	40,252
Cases Terminated	16,241	15,959	16,414	17,478	18,021	19,837	21,574
Cases Pending June 30	16,859	18,146	18,695	18,321	18,731	19,198	18,678
TOTAL							
Cases Pending July 1	119,075	119,714	127,594	135,749	140,007	139,820	142,280
New Cases Filed	114,592	119,847	137,014	136,550	142,123	142,102	137,279
Total Caseload	233,667	239,561	264,608	272,299	282,130	281,922	279,559
Cases Terminated	110,292	111,967	128,859	132,292	142,310	139,642	131,821
Cases Pending June 30	123,375	127,594	135,749	140,007	139,820	142,280	147,738

* Pending totals have been adjusted in FY 1983-84 and FY 1984-85 due to audit of open cases.

Table 13.

District Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change from FY 1988-89

District	Filings			Terminations		
	FY 88-89	FY 89-90	Percent Change	FY 88-89	FY 89-90	Percent Change
1	14,085	15,030	6.7%	13,240	13,296	0.4%
2	29,054	21,992	-24.3%	30,148	22,164	-26.5%
2nd.Juvenile	3,358	3,366	0.2%	1,548	1,432	-7.5%
2nd.Probate	2,940	4,079	38.7%	2,760	2,543	-7.9%
3	748	900	20.3%	802	1,024	27.7%
4	20,891	20,573	-1.5%	19,940	20,495	2.8%
5	2,561	2,436	-4.9%	3,267	2,652	-18.8%
6	1,475	1,359	-7.9%	1,587	1,422	-10.4%
7	2,092	2,014	-3.7%	2,074	2,086	0.6%
8	5,706	5,368	-5.9%	5,124	4,673	-8.8%
9	1,930	2,072	7.4%	2,192	2,120	-3.3%
10	4,858	4,790	-1.4%	4,362	4,602	5.5%
11	2,495	2,542	1.9%	2,060	2,189	6.3%
12	1,481	1,246	-15.9%	1,662	1,309	-21.2%
13	2,149	2,136	-0.6%	2,285	2,457	7.5%
14	1,759	1,461	-16.9%	1,921	1,730	-9.9%
15	978	910	-7.0%	852	838	-1.6%
16	1,348	1,231	-8.7%	1,354	1,323	-2.3%
17	10,049	10,502	4.5%	9,440	10,141	7.4%
18	15,707	16,345	4.1%	15,967	16,403	2.7%
19	4,619	4,858	5.2%	4,427	4,935	11.5%
20	7,858	8,266	5.2%	8,327	8,663	4.0%
21	3,267	3,109	-4.8%	3,579	2,673	-25.3%
22	694	694	0.0%	724	651	-10.1%
State Total	142,102	137,279	-3.39%	139,642	131,821	-5.6%

Table 14. District Court Filings and Terminations for FY 1989-90

District	County	Domestic Relations		Civil		Probate	
		Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.
1	Gilpin	25	25	36	45	8	3
1	Jefferson	3,727	3,659	5,048	4,840	1,145	691
	Total	3,752	3,684	5,084	4,885	1,153	694
2	Denver	4,015	4,165	14,492	14,424		
2	Denver Juvenile						
2	Denver Probate					3,228	1,634
	Total	4,015	4,165	14,492	14,424	3,228	1,634
3	Huerfano	36	29	84	85	35	27
3	Las Animas	115	184	131	135	39	38
	Total	151	213	215	220	74	65
4	El Paso	4,026	4,020	7,671	7,568	983	786
4	Teller	37	40	151	166	33	36
	Total	4,063	4,060	7,822	7,734	1,016	822
5	Clear Creek	69	71	76	122	14	18
5	Eagle	156	173	819	797	46	12
5	Lake	68	68	36	46	14	9
5	Summit	107	131	371	413	36	37
	Total	400	443	1,302	1,378	110	76
6	Archuleta	63	58	160	164	27	26
6	La Plata	290	254	354	437	101	85
6	San Juan	13	8	4	8	2	2
	Total	366	320	518	609	130	113
7	Delta	185	199	142	153	99	86
7	Gunnison	76	85	154	168	38	43
7	Hinsdale	4	4	12	10	2	4
7	Montrose	305	310	145	156	91	104
7	Ouray	5	9	19	20	6	5
7	San Miguel	34	29	109	93	11	5
	Total	609	636	581	600	247	247
8	Jackson	7	12	11	9	9	4
8	Larimer	1,435	1,126	1,563	1,510	378	281
	Total	1,442	1,138	1,574	1,519	387	285
9	Garfield	359	374	294	383	75	72
9	Pitkin	110	109	319	298	39	3
9	Rio Blanco	41	47	62	60	24	20
	Total	510	530	675	741	138	95
10	Pueblo	1,001	1,137	1,037	1,138	431	283
11	Chaffee	147	124	116	113	46	46
11	Custer	9	12	36	30	12	12
11	Fremont	349	325	353	300	114	66
11	Park	52	45	134	145	35	9
	Total	557	506	639	588	207	133

Table 14.

District Court Filings and Terminations for FY 1989-90

County	Juvenile		Mental Health		Criminal		Totals	
	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.
Gilpin	25	16	0	0	27	32	121	121
Jefferson	2,587	1,749	257	453	2,145	1,783	14,909	13,175
Total	2,612	1,765	257	453	2,172	1,815	15,030	13,296
Denver					3,485	3,575	21,992	22,164
Denver Juv.	3,366	1,432					3,366	1,432
Denver Prob.			851	909			4,079	2,543
Total	3,366	1,432	851	909	3,485	3,575	29,437	26,139
Huerfano	84	72	7	8	60	48	306	269
Las Animas	150	228	41	39	118	131	594	755
Total	234	300	48	47	178	179	900	1,024
El Paso	3,517	3,350	516	659	3,451	3,721	20,164	20,104
Teller	95	51	5	5	88	93	409	391
Total	3,612	3,401	521	664	3,539	3,814	20,573	20,495
Clear Creek	46	48	0	0	47	48	252	307
Eagle	79	108	21	11	139	200	1,260	1,301
Lake	40	53	6	6	35	28	199	210
Summit	58	82	12	15	141	156	725	834
Total	223	291	39	32	362	432	2,436	2,652
Archuleta	36	28	0	0	17	14	303	290
La Plata	125	158	27	33	134	135	1,031	1,102
San Juan	2	10	0	0	4	2	25	30
Total	163	196	27	33	155	151	1,359	1,422
Delta	88	110	12	12	63	52	589	612
Gunnison	39	39	6	4	52	66	365	405
Hinsdale	1	1	1	0	1	3	21	22
Montrose	144	154	31	32	101	94	817	850
Ouray	3	3	0	0	5	2	38	39
San Miguel	10	11	0	0	20	20	184	158
Total	285	318	50	48	242	237	2,014	2,086
Jackson	3	4	0	1	3	8	33	38
Larimer	805	616	38	44	1,116	1,058	5,335	4,635
Total	808	620	38	45	1,119	1,066	5,368	4,673
Garfield	144	185	15	14	235	288	1,122	1,316
Pitkin	32	14	11	14	232	123	743	561
Rio Blanco	38	66	0	0	42	50	207	243
Total	214	265	26	28	509	461	2,072	2,120
Pueblo	1,441	1,200	458	412	422	432	4,790	4,602
Chaffee	191	211	2	3	79	99	581	596
Custer	5	2	1	0	4	2	67	58
Fremont	385	257	38	38	352	288	1,591	1,274
Park	42	37	0	0	40	25	303	261
Total	623	507	41	41	475	414	2,542	2,189

Table 14.

District Court Filings and Terminations for FY 1989-90

District	County	Domestic Relations		Civil		Probate	
		Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.
12	Alamosa	156	147	91	124	23	24
12	Conejos	46	54	31	47	15	14
12	Costilla	22	20	21	11	44	30
12	Mineral	3	1	2	5	3	1
12	Rio Grande	109	93	62	70	34	38
12	Saguache	32	23	58	34	18	17
	Total	368	338	265	291	137	124
13	Kit Carson	35	26	40	46	44	22
13	Logan	155	147	124	193	89	129
13	Morgan	220	205	153	169	82	64
13	Phillips	19	18	9	9	36	42
13	Sedgwick	15	14	13	16	17	10
13	Washington	37	35	23	48	44	139
13	Yuma	51	50	57	63	61	73
	Total	532	495	419	544	373	479
14	Grand	56	66	211	247	22	12
14	Moffat	126	147	83	110	63	100
14	Routt	129	135	186	217	37	43
	Total	311	348	480	574	122	155
15	Baca	27	19	31	30	45	24
15	Cheyenne	10	21	24	18	35	33
15	Kiowa	10	7	17	17	27	31
15	Prowers	125	122	128	124	73	53
	Total	172	169	200	189	180	141
16	Bent	31	24	27	32	42	37
16	Crowley	51	53	47	45	6	4
16	Otero	203	219	110	128	75	72
	Total	285	296	184	205	123	113
17	Adams	2,179	2,402	3,225	3,180	513	498
18	Arapahoe	3,327	3,151	5,471	5,482	744	1,223
18	Douglas	424	314	793	656	80	89
18	Elbert	52	50	93	210	28	45
18	Lincoln	48	46	42	42	22	29
	Total	3,851	3,561	6,399	6,390	874	1,386
19	Weld	1,035	965	1,076	1,066	335	339
20	Boulder	2,248	2,312	2,294	2,440	516	611
21	Mesa	989	929	720	697	326	286
22	Dolores	7	11	12	18	7	7
22	Montezuma	171	169	181	188	62	37
	Total	178	180	193	206	69	44
	State Total	29,014	28,827	49,394	49,618	10,689	8,623

Table 14.

District Court Filings and Terminations for FY 1989-90

County	Juvenile		Mental Health		Criminal		Totals	
	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.
Alamosa	101	117	16	9	78	121	465	542
Conejos	51	48	5	4	9	20	157	187
Costilla	16	15	2	2	7	21	112	99
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	7
Rio Grande	119	110	9	8	32	40	365	359
Saguache	20	33	2	2	9	6	139	115
Total	307	323	34	25	135	208	1,246	1,309
Kit Carson	57	45	11	4	53	44	240	187
Logan	103	109	16	17	110	88	597	683
Morgan	113	131	31	17	123	196	722	782
Phillips	11	15	6	6	9	14	90	104
Sedgwick	19	36	1	4	8	12	73	92
Washington	22	45	4	5	19	39	149	311
Yuma	65	58	13	22	18	32	265	298
Total	390	439	82	75	340	425	2,136	2,457
Grand	73	98	3	3	110	142	475	568
Moffat	118	150	6	7	76	94	472	608
Routt	54	56	14	16	94	87	514	554
Total	245	304	23	26	280	323	1,461	1,730
Baca	16	15	4	3	24	28	147	119
Cheyenne	15	12	4	4	25	16	113	104
Kiowa	3	3	1	1	16	7	74	66
Prowers	77	67	11	9	162	174	576	549
Total	111	97	20	17	227	225	910	838
Bent	29	41	54	58	12	19	195	211
Crowley	19	11	0	4	46	47	169	164
Otero	254	294	30	29	195	206	867	948
Total	302	346	84	91	253	272	1,231	1,323
Adams	2,527	2,162	198	196	1,860	1,703	10,502	10,141
Arapahoe	2,570	2,407	281	267	1,790	1,999	14,183	14,529
Douglas	223	88	14	10	199	166	1,733	1,323
Elbert	42	36	8	6	43	39	266	386
Lincoln	16	15	10	9	25	24	163	165
Total	2,851	2,546	313	292	2,057	2,228	16,345	16,403
Weld	979	830	67	72	1,366	1,663	4,858	4,935
Boulder	1,822	1,758	77	47	1,309	1,495	8,266	8,663
Mesa	506	292	109	96	459	373	3,109	2,673
Dolores	4	3	2	2	7	3	39	44
Montezuma	106	97	32	36	103	80	655	607
Total	110	100	34	38	110	83	694	651
State Total	23,731	19,492	3,397	3,687	21,054	21,574	137,279	131,821

Table 15. Court and Jury Trials in District Courts for FY 1989-90

District	County	Civil		Criminal		Juvenile		Total	
		Jury	Court	Jury	Court	Jury	Court	Jury	Court
1	Gilpin	0	1	2	0	1	0	3	1
1	Jefferson	51	96	83	7	6	29	140	132
	Total	51	97	85	7	7	29	143	133
2	Denver	221	234	160	2			381	236
2	Denver Juvenile					16	20	16	20
	Total	221	234	160	2	16	20	397	256
3	Huerfano	0	6	3	0	1	0	4	6
3	Las Animas	0	5	4	0	0	24	4	29
	Total	0	11	7	0	1	24	8	35
4	El Paso	99	86	124	27	18	24	241	137
4	Teller	3	3	5	3	5	1	13	7
	Total	102	89	129	30	23	25	254	144
5	Clear Creek	0	3	2	0	0	1	2	4
5	Eagle	6	14	10	1	2	1	18	16
5	Lake	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	4
5	Summit	10	10	7	0	0	0	17	10
	Total	16	29	20	1	2	4	38	34
6	Archuleta	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
6	La Plata	5	5	1	0	0	0	6	5
6	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	7	5	2	0	0	0	9	5
7	Delta	5	2	11	1	1	2	17	5
7	Gunnison	3	2	4	0	0	0	7	2
7	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Montrose	1	0	2	0	1	0	4	0
7	Ouray	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
7	San Miguel	2	3	4	0	0	0	6	3
	Total	11	7	22	1	2	2	35	10
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Larimer	21	46	21	6	6	7	48	59
	Total	21	46	21	6	6	7	48	59
9	Garfield	3	5	7	0	2	0	12	5
9	Pitkin	0	2	5	0	0	0	5	2
9	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	3	8	12	0	2	0	17	8
10	Pueblo	11	12	23	0	5	30	39	42
11	Chaffee	2	8	3	1	0	3	5	12
11	Custer	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
11	Fremont	1	5	9	4	2	1	12	10
11	Park	1	1	2	0	0	0	3	1
	Total	5	15	14	5	2	4	21	24

Table 15. Court and Jury Trials in District Courts for FY 1989-90

District	County	Civil		Criminal		Juvenile		Total	
		Jury	Court	Jury	Court	Jury	Court	Jury	Court
12	Alamosa	0	27	8	2	0	15	8	44
12	Conejos	0	5	2	0	0	0	2	5
12	Costilla	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	0
12	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Rio Grande	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	1
12	Saguache	0	3	2	2	0	1	2	6
	Total	2	36	14	4	1	16	17	56
13	Kit Carson	1	0	2	0	0	1	3	1
13	Logan	1	5	3	0	0	0	4	5
13	Morgan	8	3	8	0	1	3	17	6
13	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Sedgwick	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	4
13	Washington	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
13	Yuma	1	5	1	0	0	0	2	5
	Total	12	13	14	2	1	6	27	21
14	Grand	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	0
14	Moffat	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2
14	Routt	3	14	2	0	0	0	5	14
	Total	4	16	6	0	0	0	10	16
15	Baca	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
15	Cheyenne	0	8	0	14	0	14	0	36
15	Kiowa	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
15	Prowers	1	3	3	0	0	0	4	3
	Total	1	12	5	14	0	14	6	40
16	Bent	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	1
16	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Otero	2	3	2	0	0	4	4	7
	Total	3	4	2	0	1	4	6	8
17	Adams	34	65	77	8	12	5	123	78
18	Arapahoe	55	88	54	4	8	3	117	95
18	Douglas	8	12	7	0	0	0	15	12
18	Elbert	3	6	1	0	0	0	4	6
18	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	66	106	62	4	8	3	136	113
19	Weld	8	32	15	0	2	27	25	59
20	Boulder	46	28	11	2	1	30	58	60
21	Mesa	10	22	39	3	3	8	52	33
22	Dolores	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
22	Montezuma	2	2	5	0	0	1	7	3
	Total	2	3	5	0	0	1	7	4
	State Total	636	890	745	89	95	259	1,476	1,238

Table 16. Domestic Relations Filings by Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Dissolution of Marriage	Legal Separation	Invalidity of Marriage		Child Custody	Reciprocal Interstate	Non-Support Interstate	Other	Total
				(a)	(b)					
1ST	Gilpin	17	0	0	0	6	0	2		25
	Jefferson	3,129	43	14	65	379	13	84		3,727
	Total	3,146	43	14	65	385	13	86		3,752
2ND	Denver	3,368	90	23	73	268	1	192		4,015
3RD	Huerfano	23	0	0	0	0	1	12		36
	Las Animas	88	0	0	2	7	0	18		115
	Total	111	0	0	2	7	1	30		151
4TH	El Paso	3,239	125	18	47	402	1	194		4,026
	Teller	33	0	0	0	4	0	0		37
	Total	3,272	125	18	47	406	1	194		4,063
5TH	Clear Creek	45	0	0	0	6	0	18		69
	Eagle	127	1	0	4	10	0	14		156
	Lake	49	1	0	0	1	1	16		68
	Summit	92	2	0	0	9	4	0		107
	Total	313	4	0	4	26	5	48		400
6TH	Archuleta	38	0	0	1	14	0	10		63
	La Plata	240	5	0	9	18	0	18		290
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	1	0	11		13
	Total	279	5	0	10	33	0	39		366
7TH	Delta	141	2	1	10	8	0	23		185
	Gunnison	55	0	0	2	7	1	11		76
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	3		4
	Montrose	218	4	0	3	34	1	45		305
	Ouray	4	1	0	0	0	0	0		5
	San Miguel	18	0	0	0	1	0	15		34
	Total	437	7	1	15	50	2	97		609
8TH	Jackson	4	0	1	0	0	0	2		7
	Larimer	1,209	20	8	44	99	0	55		1,435
	Total	1,213	20	9	44	99	0	57		1,442
9TH	Garfield	236	0	0	6	24	0	93		359
	Pitkin	102	1	0	0	7	0	0		110
	Rio Blanco	37	0	0	0	3	1	0		41
	Total	375	1	0	6	34	1	93		510
10TH	Pueblo	783	11	6	53	72	0	76		1,001
11TH	Chaffee	115	4	0	0	0	1	27		147
	Custer	5	0	0	0	2	0	2		9
	Fremont	258	3	2	10	58	1	17		349
	Park	30	2	0	3	0	0	17		52
	Total	408	9	2	13	60	2	63		557

Table 16. Domestic Relations Filings by Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Dissolution of Marriage	Legal Separation	Invalidity		Child Custody	Reciprocal Interstate	Non-Support		Total
				of Marriage				Interstate	Other	
				(a)		(b)		(c)		
12TH	Alamosa	115	1	0	4	2	0	34	156	
	Conejos	36	1	0	2	3	0	4	46	
	Costilla	14	0	0	1	1	0	6	22	
	Mineral	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	Rio Grande	69	0	0	0	22	0	18	109	
	Saguache	26	0	0	0	1	0	5	32	
	Total	263	2	0	7	29	0	67	368	
13TH	Kit Carson	21	0	0	0	2	0	12	35	
	Logan	113	4	0	1	14	0	23	155	
	Morgan	143	3	0	5	39	0	30	220	
	Phillips	14	0	0	0	5	0	0	19	
	Sedgwick	9	0	0	0	0	1	5	15	
	Washington	24	0	0	0	1	0	12	37	
	Yuma	34	0	0	0	7	0	10	51	
	Total	358	7	0	6	68	1	92	532	
14TH	Grand	44	0	0	5	6	1	0	56	
	Moffat	81	3	3	1	7	0	31	126	
	Routt	107	2	1	1	18	0	0	129	
	Total	232	5	4	7	31	1	31	311	
15TH	Baca	20	0	0	0	1	0	6	27	
	Cheyenne	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	10	
	Kiowa	4	0	0	0	3	0	3	10	
	Prowers	96	1	0	6	9	0	13	125	
	Total	129	1	0	7	13	0	22	172	
16TH	Bent	9	0	0	3	1	0	18	31	
	Crowley	38	0	1	1	2	0	9	51	
	Otero	175	3	0	8	15	2	0	203	
	Total	222	3	1	12	18	2	27	285	
17TH	Adams	1,705	30	9	89	283	0	63	2,179	
18TH	Arapahoe	2,772	102	13	47	335	0	58	3,327	
	Douglas	338	15	4	4	52	1	10	424	
	Elbert	48	4	0	0	0	0	0	52	
	Lincoln	32	0	0	1	5	0	10	48	
	Total	3,190	121	17	52	392	1	78	3,851	
19TH	Weld	794	20	2	53	129	0	37	1,035	
20TH	Boulder	1,564	50	8	57	405	0	164	2,248	
21ST	Mesa	849	3	1	6	48	0	82	989	
22ND	Dolores	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	
	Montezuma	132	0	0	11	4	0	24	171	
	Total	138	0	0	11	5	0	24	178	
State Total		23,149	557	115	639	2,861	31	1,662	29,014	
Percent of Total		79.8%	1.9%	0.4%	2.2%	9.9%	0.1%	5.7%	100%	

Table 17.

Domestic Relations Terminations by Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Dissolution of Marriage	Legal Separation	Invalidity of Marriage		Child Custody	Reciprocal Interstate	Non-Support Interstate	Other	Total
				(a)	(b)	(c)				
1ST	Gilpin	19	0	0	0	2	1	3		25
	Jefferson	3,095	57	16	50	368	3	70		3,659
	Total	3,114	57	16	50	370	4	73		3,684
2ND	Denver	3,562	60	28	70	272	0	173		4,165
3RD	Huerfano	23	0	0	0	1	2	3		29
	Las Animas	77	0	0	2	9	0	96		184
	Total	100	0	0	2	10	2	99		213
4TH	El Paso	3,302	138	10	45	353	0	172		4,020
	Teller	31	0	0	0	9	0	0		40
	Total	3,333	138	10	45	362	0	172		4,060
5TH	Clear Creek	39	0	0	1	5	0	26		71
	Eagle	114	2	0	2	12	1	42		173
	Lake	38	1	0	0	1	1	27		68
	Summit	93	6	0	1	27	4	0		131
	Total	284	9	0	4	45	6	95		443
6TH	Archuleta	39	1	0	1	15	1	1		58
	La Plata	227	0	0	6	19	0	2		254
	San Juan	2	0	0	0	1	0	5		8
	Total	268	1	0	7	35	1	8		320
7TH	Delta	151	2	1	10	8	2	25		199
	Gunnison	48	0	0	1	9	3	24		85
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	4		4
	Montrose	207	5	0	4	34	1	59		310
	Ouray	6	1	0	0	0	0	2		9
	San Miguel	11	0	0	0	1	0	17		29
	Total	423	8	1	15	52	6	131		636
8TH	Jackson	2	0	1	0	0	0	9		12
	Larimer	981	8	9	26	62	0	40		1,126
	Total	983	8	10	26	62	0	49		1,138
9TH	Garfield	189	0	0	2	24	0	159		374
	Pitkin	72	1	0	1	3	0	32		109
	Rio Blanco	39	0	0	0	7	1	0		47
	Total	300	1	0	3	34	1	191		530
10TH	Pueblo	896	14	2	58	100	0	67		1,137
11TH	Chaffee	108	0	0	2	14	0	0		124
	Custer	1	0	0	0	6	0	5		12
	Fremont	230	3	3	6	57	1	25		325
	Park	31	1	0	1	0	0	12		45
	Total	370	4	3	9	77	1	42		506

Table 17.

Domestic Relations Terminations by Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Dissolution of Marriage	Legal Separation	Invalidity of Marriage		Child Custody	Reciprocal Interstate	Non-Support Interstate	Other	Total
				(a)			(b)		(c)	
12TH	Alamosa	124	1	0	2	3	0	17		147
	Conejos	21	0	0	1	4	0	28		54
	Costilla	16	0	0	2	1	0	1		20
	Mineral	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		1
	Rio Grande	69	0	0	1	21	0	2		93
	Saguache	21	0	0	1	1	0	0		23
	Total	252	1	0	7	30	0	48		338
13TH	Kit Carson	22	0	0	0	1	0	3		26
	Logan	108	2	0	2	10	0	25		147
	Morgan	156	10	0	3	36	0	0		205
	Phillips	11	0	0	0	7	0	0		18
	Sedgwick	11	2	0	0	1	0	0		14
	Washington	30	2	0	0	3	0	0		35
	Yuma	43	1	0	0	6	0	0		50
	Total	381	17	0	5	64	0	28		495
14TH	Grand	56	2	0	3	4	1	0		66
	Moffat	74	1	3	2	5	2	60		147
	Routt	111	5	2	2	13	2	0		135
	Total	241	8	5	7	22	5	60		348
15TH	Baca	16	2	0	1	0	0	0		19
	Cheyenne	14	0	0	1	0	0	6		21
	Kiowa	2	0	0	1	4	0	0		7
	Prowers	86	1	1	3	10	1	20		122
	Total	118	3	1	6	14	1	26		169
16TH	Bent	11	0	0	0	2	1	10		24
	Crowley	20	0	0	0	1	0	32		53
	Otero	181	0	0	5	18	2	13		219
	Total	212	0	0	5	21	3	55		296
17TH	Adams	1,852	39	8	77	372	1	53		2,402
18TH	Arapahoe	2,634	83	13	35	291	0	95		3,151
	Douglas	208	12	3	5	85	1	0		314
	Eibert	48	1	0	1	0	0	0		50
	Lincoln	30	0	0	0	5	0	11		46
	Total	2,920	96	16	41	381	1	106		3,561
19TH	Weld	753	16	2	30	133	0	31		965
20TH	Boulder	1,686	51	14	42	378	0	141		2,312
21ST	Mesa	708	6	1	9	81	4	120		929
22ND	Dolores	6	0	0	0	4	0	1		11
	Montezuma	114	0	0	5	6	0	44		169
	Total	120	0	0	5	10	0	45		180
State Total		22,876	537	117	523	2,925	36	1,813		28,827
Percent of Total		79.4%	1.9%	0.4%	1.8%	10.1%	0.1%	6.3%		100%

Table 18.

Civil Case Filings by Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Personal	Property	Wrongful	Real	Admin Review	Money	County	Other	Total
		Injury	Damage	Death	Personal Property	and Local Government	Demands	Court Appeals		
					(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		
1ST	Gilpin	4	0	0	18	11	0	3	0	36
	Jefferson	564	4	2	156	1,898	36	1,592	32	5,048
	Total	568	4	2	174	1,909	36	1,595	32	5,084
2ND	Denver	1,514	24	28	347	5,655	155	4,941	100	14,492
3RD	Huerfano	12	0	0	18	14	1	39	0	84
	Las Animas	9	1	0	28	24	4	43	1	131
	Total	21	1	0	46	38	5	82	1	215
4TH	El Paso	1,137	33	12	245	4,180	60	1,481	32	7,671
	Teller	8	0	0	20	49	1	40	0	151
	Total	1,145	33	12	265	4,229	61	1,521	32	7,822
5TH	Clear Creek	6	0	1	20	16	3	29	1	76
	Eagle	16	1	0	224	93	0	397	1	819
	Lake	2	1	0	11	6	3	12	1	36
	Summit	18	3	0	60	82	0	135	4	371
	Total	42	5	1	315	197	6	573	7	1,302
6TH	Archuleta	1	0	0	59	37	0	48	0	160
	La Plata	40	2	2	87	41	3	176	3	354
	San Juan	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
	Total	41	2	2	150	78	3	224	3	518
7TH	Delta	11	5	0	37	14	0	52	1	142
	Gunnison	6	0	0	38	22	0	64	0	154
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	9	1	0	0	0	12
	Montrose	4	0	1	30	6	0	95	2	145
	Ouray	0	0	0	14	0	1	4	0	19
	San Miguel	2	0	0	15	6	0	18	0	109
	Total	23	5	1	143	49	1	233	3	581
8TH	Jackson	0	0	0	3	1	0	7	0	11
	Larimer	201	3	1	64	447	18	484	15	1,563
	Total	201	3	1	67	448	18	491	15	1,574
9TH	Garfield	24	0	3	35	18	0	123	6	294
	Pitkin	25	0	0	47	30	4	152	0	319
	Rio Blanco	3	1	0	14	12	1	31	0	62
	Total	52	1	3	96	60	5	306	6	675
10TH	Pueblo	263	5	1	51	233	2	312	8	1,037
11TH	Chaffee	8	0	0	36	16	4	52	0	116
	Custer	2	0	0	13	9	0	11	1	36
	Fremont	29	1	0	70	41	0	79	2	353
	Park	2	2	0	87	0	1	42	0	134
	Total	41	3	0	206	66	5	184	3	639

Table 18.

Civil Case Filings by Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Personal Injury	Property Damage	Wrongful Death	Real	RL120	Admin Review and Local	Money Demands	County Court		Total
					Personal Property		Government		Appeals	Other	
					(a)	(b)	(c)			(d)	
12TH	Alamosa	10	0	0	18	14	1	48	0	0	91
	Conejos	5	1	0	9	5	0	10	1	0	31
	Costilla	3	0	0	6	3	0	9	0	0	21
	Mineral	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
	Rio Grande	5	0	1	15	3	0	38	0	0	62
	Saguache	2	1	0	13	11	0	31	0	0	58
	Total	25	2	1	62	36	1	137	1	0	265
13TH	Kit Carson	3	0	0	6	2	0	27	2	0	40
	Logan	10	1	0	22	11	2	78	0	0	124
	Morgan	13	0	0	35	23	1	60	0	21	153
	Phillips	1	0	0	4	1	0	3	0	0	9
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	1	1	1	8	0	1	13
	Washington	0	0	0	3	3	0	12	0	5	23
	Yuma	4	0	0	11	6	0	36	0	0	57
	Total	32	1	0	82	47	4	224	2	27	419
14TH	Grand	0	0	0	48	36	2	125	0	0	211
	Moffat	2	0	0	25	11	0	45	0	0	83
	Routt	12	1	0	35	25	0	111	2	0	186
	Total	14	1	0	108	72	2	281	2	0	480
15TH	Baca	1	0	0	9	0	0	20	0	1	31
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	11	2	1	9	0	0	24
	Kiowa	1	0	0	5	2	0	9	0	0	17
	Prowers	5	2	0	31	16	0	54	0	20	128
	Total	7	3	0	56	20	1	92	0	21	200
16TH	Bent	1	0	0	7	4	0	10	0	5	27
	Crowley	0	0	0	2	4	0	8	0	33	47
	Otero	12	0	0	30	12	1	55	0	0	110
	Total	13	0	0	39	20	1	73	0	38	184
17TH	Adams	338	9	10	118	1,355	4	953	15	423	3,225
18TH	Arapahoe	625	12	8	175	2,183	48	1,788	30	602	5,471
	Douglas	63	0	2	198	171	4	347	8	0	793
	Elbert	0	0	0	19	23	2	37	1	11	93
	Lincoln	1	0	0	16	0	0	25	0	0	42
	Total	689	12	10	408	2,377	54	2,197	39	613	6,399
19TH	Weld	120	16	5	55	245	14	338	5	278	1,076
20TH	Boulder	456	0	4	93	544	20	826	6	345	2,294
21ST	Mesa	55	4	1	111	154	54	340	1	0	720
22ND	Dolores	0	0	0	6	2	0	4	0	0	12
	Montezuma	9	1	0	64	27	0	80	0	0	181
	Total	9	1	0	70	29	0	84	0	0	193
State Total		5,669	135	82	3,062	17,861	452	16,007	281	5,845	49,394
Percent of Total		11.5%	0.3%	0.2%	6.2%	36.2%	0.9%	32.4%	0.6%	11.8%	100%

Table 19.

Civil Case Terminations by Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Personal Injury	Property Damage	Wrongful Death	Real	Admin Review		County			Total
					Personal Property	and Local	Money	Court	Other		
					RL120	Government	Demands	Appeals			
					(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)		
1ST	Gilpin	5	0	0	14	7	0	4	0	15	45
	Jefferson	491	3	2	162	1,918	33	1,541	33	657	4,840
	Total	496	3	2	176	1,925	33	1,545	33	672	4,885
2ND	Denver	1,335	39	39	418	5,738	132	4,928	98	1,697	14,424
3RD	Huerfano	7	0	0	15	12	0	51	0	0	85
	Las Animas	10	0	1	36	14	7	57	2	8	135
	Total	17	0	1	51	26	7	108	2	8	220
4TH	El Paso	1,048	29	6	242	4,188	52	1,517	17	469	7,568
	Teller	13	0	0	25	55	3	49	0	21	166
	Total	1,061	29	6	267	4,243	55	1,566	17	490	7,734
5TH	Clear Creek	7	1	0	22	7	1	23	0	61	122
	Eagle	22	2	0	316	62	1	319	0	75	797
	Lake	2	0	0	12	4	9	14	0	5	46
	Summit	16	0	2	115	38	0	202	3	37	413
	Total	47	3	2	465	111	11	558	3	178	1,378
6TH	Archuleta	7	0	0	86	15	0	56	0	0	164
	La Plata	45	0	2	108	16	1	262	3	0	437
	San Juan	1	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	8
	Total	53	0	2	200	31	1	319	3	0	609
7TH	Delta	7	3	0	62	6	0	61	1	13	153
	Gunnison	3	0	0	47	15	0	65	1	37	168
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	7	1	0	2	0	0	10
	Montrose	8	0	2	42	5	0	94	3	2	156
	Ouray	0	0	0	11	0	1	7	0	1	20
	San Miguel	0	0	0	16	0	0	22	0	55	93
	Total	18	3	2	185	27	1	251	5	108	600
8TH	Jackson	1	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	1	9
	Larimer	157	1	1	78	467	17	469	8	312	1,510
	Total	158	1	1	79	467	17	475	8	313	1,519
9TH	Garfield	17	1	3	49	4	2	124	4	179	383
	Pitkin	20	0	1	56	20	2	152	0	47	298
	Rio Blanco	1	0	0	14	8	1	24	1	11	60
	Total	38	1	4	119	32	5	300	5	237	741
10TH	Pueblo	275	8	5	61	238	4	341	5	201	1,138
11TH	Chaffee	6	1	0	36	7	0	62	1	0	113
	Custer	2	0	0	16	5	0	7	0	0	30
	Fremont	20	1	1	61	31	0	66	2	118	300
	Park	6	0	0	81	0	0	41	0	17	145
	Total	34	2	1	194	43	0	176	3	135	588

Table 19.

Civil Case Terminations by Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Personal Injury	Property Damage	Wrongful Death	Real	RL120	Admin Review and Local	Money Demands	County Court		Total
					Personal Property		Government		Appeals	Other	
					(a)	(b)	(c)			(d)	
12TH	Alamosa	11	3	0	18	12	4	75	1	0	124
	Conejos	3	0	0	9	5	0	12	0	18	47
	Costilla	1	0	0	5	1	0	4	0	0	11
	Mineral	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	5
	Rio Grande	3	0	0	18	4	0	45	0	0	70
	Saguache	0	0	1	14	4	0	15	0	0	34
	Total	18	3	1	67	27	4	152	1	18	291
13TH	Kit Carson	1	0	0	4	0	1	31	0	9	46
	Logan	5	1	0	31	5	2	96	0	53	193
	Morgan	16	0	0	35	18	1	61	0	38	169
	Phillips	1	0	0	5	1	0	2	0	0	9
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	4	2	1	8	0	0	16
	Washington	1	0	0	8	1	0	14	0	24	48
	Yuma	8	0	0	12	5	1	37	0	0	63
	Total	33	1	0	99	32	6	249	0	124	544
14TH	Grand	1	0	1	108	9	0	128	0	0	247
	Moffat	3	0	0	36	14	2	55	0	0	110
	Routt	7	1	1	59	27	1	118	3	0	217
	Total	11	1	2	203	50	3	301	3	0	574
15TH	Baca	1	0	1	8	0	0	12	0	8	30
	Cheyenne	2	0	0	7	2	0	6	1	0	18
	Kiowa	1	0	0	3	0	0	13	0	0	17
	Prowers	9	1	0	35	10	0	59	0	10	124
	Total	13	1	1	53	12	0	90	1	18	189
16TH	Bent	2	2	0	6	3	0	7	0	12	32
	Crowley	1	0	0	3	4	0	6	0	31	45
	Otero	9	0	1	33	11	1	72	1	0	128
	Total	12	2	1	42	18	1	85	1	43	205
17TH	Adams	308	8	3	177	1,352	2	874	11	445	3,180
18TH	Arapahoe	582	9	4	199	2,138	18	1,790	28	714	5,482
	Douglas	28	3	0	152	131	3	327	12	0	656
	Elbert	0	0	0	24	16	2	23	0	145	210
	Lincoln	0	0	0	17	0	1	24	0	0	42
	Total	610	12	4	392	2,285	24	2,164	40	859	6,390
19TH	Weld	98	13	1	62	238	5	291	11	347	1,066
20TH	Boulder	476	3	3	106	547	32	924	3	546	2,440
21ST	Mesa	39	1	1	102	147	3	397	7	0	697
22ND	Dolores	1	0	0	7	3	0	3	0	4	18
	Montezuma	13	0	0	77	13	0	74	0	11	188
	Total	14	0	0	84	16	0	77	0	15	206
State Total		5,164	134	82	3,602	17,605	346	16,171	260	6,254	49,618
Percent of Total		10.4%	0.3%	0.2%	7.3%	35.5%	0.7%	32.6%	0.5%	12.6%	100%

Table 20.

Probate Filings by Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Intestates	Testates	Guardianship	Conserva- torship	Small Estates	Other	Total
1ST	Gilpin	0	5	(a) 2	0	0	(b) 1	8
	Jefferson	117	565	105	60	10	288	1,145
	Total	117	570	107	60	10	289	1,153
2ND	Denver	340	1,333	483	0	0	1,072	3,228
3RD	Huerfano	4	20	4	5	0	2	35
	Las Animas	13	12	9	3	0	2	39
	Total	17	32	13	8	0	4	74
4TH	El Paso	92	453	87	0	62	289	983
	Teller	3	10	0	1	1	18	33
	Total	95	463	87	1	63	307	1,016
5TH	Clear Creek	4	7	0	2	0	1	14
	Eagle	10	17	9	4	0	6	46
	Lake	5	6	0	2	1	0	14
	Summit	6	5	0	2	0	23	36
	Total	25	35	9	10	1	30	110
6TH	Archuleta	5	12	0	0	0	10	27
	La Plata	15	52	8	19	0	7	101
	San Juan	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	20	66	8	19	0	17	130
7TH	Delta	18	49	3	9	0	20	99
	Gunnison	5	18	3	0	0	12	38
	Hinsdale	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Montrose	18	42	8	6	0	17	91
	Ouray	1	4	0	0	0	1	6
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	11	11
	Total	42	115	14	15	0	61	247
8TH	Jackson	0	5	0	0	0	4	9
	Larimer	34	207	35	0	2	100	378
	Total	34	212	35	0	2	104	387
9TH	Garfield	15	46	6	6	0	2	75
	Pitkin	5	12	4	1	0	17	39
	Rio Blanco	1	9	1	0	1	12	24
	Total	21	67	11	7	1	31	138
10TH	Pueblo	63	189	48	0	0	131	431
11TH	Chaffee	10	28	6	2	0	0	46
	Custer	3	2	0	1	0	6	12
	Fremont	22	67	6	8	0	11	114
	Park	9	15	0	4	0	7	35
	Total	44	112	12	15	0	24	207

Table 20.

Probate Filings by Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Intestates	Testates	Guardianship	Conserva- torship	Small Estates	Other	Total
				(a)			(b)	
12TH	Alamosa	6	12	2	2	0	1	23
	Conejos	2	5	1	0	0	7	15
	Costilla	2	3	0	1	0	38	44
	Mineral	1	0	0	0	0	2	3
	Rio Grande	7	20	1	3	0	3	34
	Saguache	5	10	1	1	1	0	18
	Total	23	50	5	7	1	51	137
13TH	Kit Carson	1	18	1	3	0	21	44
	Logan	16	45	4	7	0	17	89
	Morgan	8	43	8	5	1	17	82
	Phillips	6	13	0	2	0	15	36
	Sedgwick	1	11	1	0	0	4	17
	Washington	4	21	2	2	0	15	44
	Yuma	8	25	2	5	0	21	61
	Total	44	176	18	24	1	110	373
14TH	Grand	6	9	3	3	0	1	22
	Moffat	8	14	1	6	1	33	63
	Routt	4	14	3	4	0	12	37
	Total	18	37	7	13	1	46	122
15TH	Baca	7	17	1	1	0	19	45
	Cheyenne	3	6	7	1	0	18	35
	Kiowa	7	18	0	1	0	1	27
	Prowers	7	45	8	4	0	9	73
	Total	24	86	16	7	0	47	180
16TH	Bent	3	8	3	4	1	23	42
	Crowley	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
	Otero	6	37	7	5	0	20	75
	Total	9	51	10	9	1	43	123
17TH	Adams	67	235	62	20	2	127	513
18TH	Arapahoe	75	392	99	12	0	166	744
	Douglas	13	36	5	11	4	11	80
	Elbert	3	14	1	3	0	7	28
	Lincoln	2	15	0	1	0	4	22
	Total	93	457	105	27	4	188	874
19TH	Weld	37	173	27	0	0	98	335
20TH	Boulder	63	304	32	2	3	112	516
21ST	Mesa	46	153	56	12	0	59	326
22ND	Dolores	2	4	1	0	0	0	7
	Montezuma	18	30	3	5	0	6	62
	Total	20	34	4	5	0	6	69
State Total		1,262	4,950	1,169	261	90	2,957	10,689
Percent of Total		11.8%	46.3%	10.9%	2.4%	0.8%	27.7%	100%

Table 21. Probate Terminations by Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Intestates	Testates	Guardianship	Conserva- torship	Small Estates	Other	Total
				(a)			(b)	
1ST	Gilpin	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
	Jefferson	43	553	58	31	6	0	691
	Total	44	555	58	31	6	0	694
2ND	Denver	216	983	123	185	0	127	1,634
3RD	Huerfano	2	17	2	3	0	3	27
	Las Animas	16	9	0	1	0	12	38
	Total	18	26	2	4	0	15	65
4TH	El Paso	81	411	60	0	33	201	786
	Teller	10	17	3	5	1	0	36
	Total	91	428	63	5	34	201	822
5TH	Clear Creek	4	9	0	4	0	1	18
	Eagle	3	9	0	0	0	0	12
	Lake	4	5	0	0	0	0	9
	Summit	6	7	1	0	0	23	37
	Total	17	30	1	4	0	24	76
6TH	Archuleta	6	9	0	1	0	10	26
	La Plata	15	46	7	11	0	6	85
	San Juan	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	21	57	7	12	0	16	113
7TH	Delta	11	41	3	9	0	22	86
	Gunnison	5	19	3	6	0	10	43
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	3	4
	Montrose	25	53	20	6	0	0	104
	Ouray	1	2	1	0	0	1	5
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
	Total	42	116	27	21	0	41	247
8TH	Jackson	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
	Larimer	40	185	16	1	1	38	281
	Total	40	187	16	1	1	40	285
9TH	Garfield	9	31	7	12	1	12	72
	Pitkin	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
	Rio Blanco	1	5	0	2	0	12	20
	Total	11	37	7	14	1	25	95
10TH	Pueblo	45	131	20	0	0	87	283
11TH	Chaffee	8	28	6	4	0	0	46
	Custer	1	3	0	0	0	8	12
	Fremont	5	36	1	5	0	19	66
	Park	3	4	0	2	0	0	9
	Total	17	71	7	11	0	27	133

Table 21. Probate Terminations by Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Intestates	Testates	Guardianship	Conserva- torship	Small Estates	Other	Total
				(a)			(b)	
12TH	Alamosa	4	18	1	1	0	0	24
	Conejos	6	3	1	4	0	0	14
	Costilla	4	2	0	0	0	24	30
	Mineral	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Rio Grande	2	18	3	1	0	14	38
	Saguache	4	7	2	4	0	0	17
	Total	20	49	7	10	0	38	124
13TH	Kit Carson	3	11	0	1	0	7	22
	Logan	8	52	1	2	0	66	129
	Morgan	13	32	6	7	1	5	64
	Phillips	5	15	0	2	0	20	42
	Sedgwick	3	7	0	0	0	0	10
	Washington	5	16	1	1	0	116	139
	Yuma	9	28	0	0	0	36	73
	Total	46	161	8	13	1	250	479
14TH	Grand	2	8	1	1	0	0	12
	Moffat	10	19	0	1	0	70	100
	Routt	10	8	3	4	0	18	43
	Total	22	35	4	6	0	88	155
15TH	Baca	4	13	1	1	0	5	24
	Cheyenne	6	7	7	3	0	10	33
	Kiowa	5	17	0	1	0	8	31
	Prowers	9	31	7	6	0	0	53
	Total	24	68	15	11	0	23	141
16TH	Bent	0	11	0	3	0	23	37
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
	Otero	13	27	8	3	0	21	72
	Total	13	38	8	6	0	48	113
17TH	Adams	57	176	37	44	7	177	498
18TH	Arapahoe	79	425	148	155	1	415	1,223
	Douglas	6	27	7	15	7	27	89
	Elbert	5	7	1	4	0	28	45
	Lincoln	4	10	0	0	0	15	29
	Total	94	469	156	174	8	485	1,386
19TH	Weld	60	123	12	36	0	108	339
20TH	Boulder	66	262	60	41	2	180	611
21ST	Mesa	36	129	21	45	0	55	286
22ND	Dolores	2	5	0	0	0	0	7
	Montezuma	10	21	4	2	0	0	37
	Total	12	26	4	2	0	0	44
State Total		1,012	4,157	663	676	60	2,055	8,623
Percent of Total		11.7%	48.2%	7.7%	7.8%	0.7%	23.8%	100%

Table 22.

Juvenile Filings by Type of Case for FY 1989-90 (a)

Dist.	County	Delinquency Petitions	Dependency- neglect Petitions	Relinquish- ments	Adoptions	Paternity and Support	Other	Total
			(b)			(c)	(d)	
1ST	Gilpin	5	3	0	1	1	15	25
	Jefferson	1,248	300	107	257	243	432	2,587
	Total	1,253	303	107	258	244	447	2,612
2ND	Denver	1,606	534	75	307	841	3	3,366
3RD	Huerfano	31	4	1	2	7	39	84
	Las Animas	52	7	1	5	11	74	150
	Total	83	11	2	7	18	113	234
4TH	El Paso	1,161	663	119	327	335	912	3,517
	Teller	57	32	0	0	1	5	95
	Total	1,218	695	119	327	336	917	3,612
5TH	Clear Creek	32	10	0	3	1	0	46
	Eagle	19	29	6	10	4	11	79
	Lake	18	13	1	2	6	0	40
	Summit	34	1	1	4	1	17	58
	Total	103	53	8	19	12	28	223
6TH	Archuleta	21	7	1	0	2	5	36
	La Plata	84	14	2	10	13	2	125
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
	Total	106	21	3	10	16	7	163
7TH	Delta	61	12	1	8	6	0	88
	Gunnison	21	1	1	2	2	12	39
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	40	33	6	16	32	17	144
	Ouray	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
	San Miguel	1	1	0	0	0	8	10
	Total	125	48	9	26	40	37	285
8TH	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	2	3
	Larimer	398	94	17	77	152	67	805
	Total	399	94	17	77	152	69	808
9TH	Garfield	62	15	6	18	24	19	144
	Pitkin	9	0	0	1	0	22	32
	Rio Blanco	13	5	1	4	1	13	38
	Total	84	21	7	23	25	54	214
10TH	Pueblo	829	179	20	50	215	148	1,441
11TH	Chaffee	43	16	2	8	34	88	191
	Custer	1	1	0	1	0	2	5
	Fremont	106	60	1	11	53	154	385
	Park	34	7	0	1	0	0	42
	Total	184	84	3	21	87	244	623

Table 22.

Juvenile Filings by Type of Case for FY 1989-90 (a)

Dist.	County	Dependency-			Paternity and		Other	Total
		Delinquency Petitions	neglect Petitions	Relinquish- ments	Adoptions	Support		
			(b)			(c)	(d)	
12TH	Alamosa	66	11	2	3	19	0	101
	Conejos	17	4	0	1	18	11	51
	Costilla	1	2	0	0	4	9	16
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	22	3	2	12	27	53	119
	Saguache	6	6	0	2	6	0	20
	Total	112	26	4	18	74	73	307
13TH	Kit Carson	2	6	0	2	17	30	57
	Logan	15	9	4	5	16	54	103
	Morgan	31	15	2	15	44	6	113
	Phillips	4	0	0	3	4	0	11
	Sedgwick	2	1	1	1	6	8	19
	Washington	5	4	0	1	12	0	22
	Yuma	16	5	0	4	22	18	65
	Total	75	40	7	31	121	116	390
14TH	Grand	23	10	1	9	8	22	73
	Moffat	37	54	3	15	9	0	118
	Routt	15	3	3	9	7	17	54
	Total	75	67	7	33	24	39	245
15TH	Baca	0	4	0	0	2	10	16
	Cheyenne	3	1	0	1	3	7	15
	Kiowa	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
	Prowers	28	13	2	11	18	5	77
	Total	33	18	2	12	23	23	111
16TH	Bent	9	7	1	4	8	0	29
	Crowley	4	1	0	0	4	10	19
	Otero	87	11	1	8	98	49	254
	Total	100	19	2	12	110	59	302
17TH	Adams	924	436	27	136	596	338	2,527
18TH	Arapahoe	1,225	355	101	284	313	292	2,570
	Douglas	114	28	2	37	42	0	223
	Elbert	16	6	0	7	10	3	42
	Lincoln	7	2	1	2	4	0	16
	Total	1,362	391	104	330	369	295	2,851
19TH	Weld	541	43	15	58	185	137	979
20TH	Boulder	1,221	126	17	91	274	93	1,822
21ST	Mesa	285	83	2	41	60	35	506
22ND	Dolores	1	0	0	0	2	1	4
	Montezuma	27	22	4	7	7	39	106
	Total	28	22	4	7	9	40	110
State Total		10,816	3,314	561	1,894	3,831	3,315	23,731
Percent of Total		45.6%	14.0%	2.4%	8.0%	16.1%	14.0%	100%

Table 23.

Juvenile Terminations by Type of Case for FY 1989-90 (a)

Dist.	County	Delinquency	Dependency- neglect	Relinquish- ments	Adoptions	Paternity and Support	Other	Total
		Petitions	Petitions					
		(b)			(c)	(d)		
1ST	Gilpin	5	9	0	1	0	1	16
	Jefferson	876	157	47	216	193	260	1,749
	Total	881	166	47	217	193	261	1,765
2ND	Denver	770	305	14	144	178	21	1,432
3RD	Huerfano	25	8	1	3	9	26	72
	Las Animas	52	16	0	8	90	62	228
	Total	77	24	1	11	99	88	300
4TH	El Paso	982	580	103	299	313	1,073	3,350
	Teller	25	23	0	2	1	0	51
	Total	1,007	603	103	301	314	1,073	3,401
5TH	Clear Creek	28	15	0	4	1	0	48
	Eagle	33	32	1	3	5	34	108
	Lake	20	26	2	3	2	0	53
	Summit	35	2	1	6	0	38	82
	Total	116	75	4	16	8	72	291
6TH	Archuleta	14	6	1	1	6	0	28
	La Plata	100	8	9	15	10	16	158
	San Juan	2	2	0	0	1	5	10
	Total	116	16	10	16	17	21	196
7TH	Delta	50	23	2	11	13	11	110
	Gunnison	29	4	0	4	2	0	39
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	37	28	1	12	22	54	154
	Ouray	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
	San Miguel	0	1	0	1	0	9	11
	Total	118	57	4	28	37	74	318
8TH	Jackson	0	0	0	1	0	3	4
	Larimer	317	66	11	71	114	37	616
	Total	317	66	11	72	114	40	620
9TH	Garfield	57	11	8	18	16	75	185
	Pitkin	9	1	0	1	1	2	14
	Rio Blanco	16	8	1	1	0	40	66
	Total	82	20	9	20	17	117	265
10TH	Pueblo	654	166	14	58	175	133	1,200
11TH	Chaffee	36	18	2	7	50	98	211
	Custer	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
	Fremont	103	47	1	5	36	65	257
	Park	30	4	0	0	1	2	37
	Total	169	70	3	13	87	165	507

Table 23. Juvenile Terminations by Type of Case for FY 1989-90 (a)

Dist.	County	Delinquency	Dependency- neglect	Relinquish- ments	Adoptions	Paternity and Support	Other	Total
		Petitions	Petitions					
		(b)				(c)	(d)	
12TH	Alamosa	53	21	7	14	22	0	117
	Conejos	21	8	0	2	16	1	48
	Costilla	1	2	0	0	1	11	15
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	9	4	2	7	29	59	110
	Saguache	7	8	1	4	13	0	33
	Total	91	43	10	27	81	71	323
13TH	Kit Carson	1	12	0	5	15	12	45
	Logan	10	16	9	13	20	41	109
	Morgan	16	15	1	18	53	28	131
	Phillips	2	3	0	5	4	1	15
	Sedgwick	1	5	0	1	5	24	36
	Washington	6	5	0	1	11	22	45
	Yuma	5	11	0	4	21	17	58
	Total	41	67	10	47	129	145	439
14TH	Grand	18	5	0	3	2	70	98
	Moffat	25	52	3	13	7	50	150
	Routt	15	14	3	8	6	10	56
	Total	58	71	6	24	15	130	304
15TH	Baca	4	1	0	0	2	8	15
	Cheyenne	2	6	0	1	3	0	12
	Kiowa	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
	Prowers	29	11	1	7	16	3	67
	Total	35	20	1	8	21	12	97
16TH	Bent	3	6	0	0	10	22	41
	Crowley	4	1	0	1	5	0	11
	Otero	59	13	1	9	98	114	294
	Total	66	20	1	10	113	136	346
17TH	Adams	739	388	23	118	548	346	2,162
18TH	Arapahoe	837	333	99	283	217	638	2,407
	Douglas	58	18	2	7	3	0	88
	Elbert	14	6	0	5	1	10	36
	Lincoln	5	4	1	0	5	0	15
	Total	914	361	102	295	226	648	2,546
19TH	Weid	452	42	14	55	162	105	830
20TH	Boulder	1,225	132	14	104	213	70	1,758
21ST	Mesa	155	49	8	13	67	0	292
22ND	Dolores	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
	Montezuma	30	24	3	8	6	26	97
	Total	30	24	3	8	8	27	100
State Total		8,113	2,785	412	1,605	2,822	3,755	19,492
Percent of Total		41.6%	14.3%	2.1%	8.2%	14.5%	19.3%	100%

Table 24. Mental Health Filings by Type of Cases for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Developmentally				Total
		Evaluations	Disabled	Alcoholism	Other	
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
1ST	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	203	1	6	47	257
	Total	203	1	6	47	257
2ND	Denver	660	2	179	10	851
3RD	Huerfano	7	0	0	0	7
	Las Animas	15	0	4	22	41
	Total	22	0	4	22	48
4TH	El Paso	397	0	81	38	516
	Teller	3	0	2	0	5
	Total	400	0	83	38	521
5TH	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	12	0	2	7	21
	Lake	6	0	0	0	6
	Summit	12	0	0	0	12
	Total	30	0	2	7	39
6TH	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	21	0	1	5	27
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	21	0	1	5	27
7TH	Delta	8	0	4	0	12
	Gunnison	5	0	0	1	6
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	24	2	4	1	31
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	38	2	8	2	50
8TH	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	30	0	5	3	38
	Total	30	0	5	3	38
9TH	Garfield	12	2	1	0	15
	Pitkin	8	0	0	3	11
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	20	2	1	3	26
10TH	Pueblo	362	2	40	54	458
11TH	Chaffee	2	0	0	0	2
	Custer	1	0	0	0	1
	Fremont	38	0	0	0	38
	Park	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	41	0	0	0	41

Table 24.

Mental Health Filings by Type of Cases for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Developmentally				Total
		Evaluations	Disabled	Alcoholism	Other	
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
12TH	Alamosa	14	0	2	0	16
	Conejos	2	0	0	3	5
	Costilla	2	0	0	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	9	0	0	0	9
	Saguache	2	0	0	0	2
	Total	29	0	2	3	34
13TH	Kit Carson	4	0	0	7	11
	Logan	12	0	0	4	16
	Morgan	31	0	0	0	31
	Phillips	1	0	0	5	6
	Sedgwick	0	1	0	0	1
	Washington	0	0	0	4	4
	Yuma	13	0	0	0	13
	Total	61	1	0	20	82
14TH	Grand	3	0	0	0	3
	Moffat	3	1	2	0	6
	Routt	8	2	4	0	14
	Total	14	3	6	0	23
15TH	Baca	4	0	0	0	4
	Cheyenne	4	0	0	0	4
	Kiowa	1	0	0	0	1
	Prowers	11	0	0	0	11
	Total	20	0	0	0	20
16TH	Bent	52	0	0	2	54
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	29	0	1	0	30
	Total	81	0	1	2	84
17TH	Adams	145	5	16	32	198
18TH	Arapahoe	208	0	0	73	281
	Douglas	14	0	0	0	14
	Elbert	3	0	0	5	8
	Lincoln	2	0	0	8	10
	Total	227	0	0	86	313
19TH	Weld	21	0	0	46	67
20TH	Boulder	65	0	12	0	77
21ST	Mesa	85	0	23	1	109
22ND	Dolores	0	0	0	2	2
	Montezuma	12	0	0	20	32
	Total	12	0	0	22	34
State Total		2,587	18	389	403	3,397
Percent of Total		76.2%	0.5%	11.5%	11.9%	100%

Table 25.

Mental Health Terminations by Type of Cases for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Developmentally			Other	Total
		Evaluations	Disabled	Alcoholism		
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
1ST	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	138	5	6	304	453
	Total	138	5	6	304	453
2ND	Denver	744	1	164	0	909
3RD	Huerfano	8	0	0	0	8
	Las Animas	18	0	4	17	39
	Total	26	0	4	17	47
4TH	El Paso	385	0	82	192	659
	Teller	3	0	2	0	5
	Total	388	0	84	192	664
5TH	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	8	0	0	3	11
	Lake	4	0	0	2	6
	Summit	15	0	0	0	15
	Total	27	0	0	5	32
6TH	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	13	0	1	19	33
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	13	0	1	19	33
7TH	Delta	6	0	6	0	12
	Gunnison	4	0	0	0	4
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	20	2	5	5	32
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	30	2	11	5	48
8TH	Jackson	1	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	35	0	4	5	44
	Total	36	0	4	5	45
9TH	Garfield	12	1	1	0	14
	Pitkin	1	0	0	13	14
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	13	1	1	13	28
10TH	Pueblo	370	1	40	1	412
11TH	Chaffee	3	0	0	0	3
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	38	0	0	0	38
	Park	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	41	0	0	0	41

Table 25. Mental Health Terminations by Type of Cases for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Developmentally				Total
		Evaluations	Disabled	Alcoholism	Other	
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
12TH	Alamosa	9	0	0	0	9
	Conejos	3	0	0	1	4
	Costilla	2	0	0	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	8	0	0	0	8
	Saguache	2	0	0	0	2
	Total	24	0	0	1	25
13TH	Kit Carson	3	0	0	1	4
	Logan	15	1	1	0	17
	Morgan	17	0	0	0	17
	Phillips	1	0	0	5	6
	Sedgwick	3	1	0	0	4
	Washington	0	0	0	5	5
	Yuma	15	0	0	7	22
	Total	54	2	1	18	75
14TH	Grand	3	0	0	0	3
	Moffat	4	2	1	0	7
	Routt	7	4	4	1	16
	Total	14	6	5	1	26
15TH	Baca	3	0	0	0	3
	Cheyenne	4	0	0	0	4
	Kiowa	1	0	0	0	1
	Prowers	9	0	0	0	9
	Total	17	0	0	0	17
16TH	Bent	46	0	0	12	58
	Crowley	0	0	0	4	4
	Otero	26	0	1	2	29
	Total	72	0	1	18	91
17TH	Adams	152	1	7	36	196
18TH	Arapahoe	197	0	1	69	267
	Douglas	8	0	0	2	10
	Elbert	3	0	0	3	6
	Lincoln	2	0	0	7	9
	Total	210	0	1	81	292
19TH	Weld	38	0	3	31	72
20TH	Boulder	33	0	14	0	47
21ST	Mesa	74	0	18	4	96
22ND	Dolores	0	0	0	2	2
	Montezuma	9	0	0	27	36
	Total	9	0	0	29	38
State Total		2,523	19	365	780	3,687
Percent of Total		68.4%	0.5%	9.9%	21.2%	100%

Table 26. Criminal Offense Filings By Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Offenses					Other Offenses	Total
		Offenses Against the Person	Offenses Against Property	Offenses Involving Fraud	Offenses Involving Government Operations	Drug and Narcotic Offenses		
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(e)	
1ST	Gilpin	5	5	1	3	7	3	24
	Jefferson	157	657	196	0	350	746	2,106
	Total	162	662	197	3	357	749	2,130
2ND	Denver	509	1,223	160	218	871	426	3,407
3RD	Huerfano	10	9	0	8	8	23	58
	Las Animas	18	23	5	1	2	60	109
	Total	28	32	5	9	10	83	167
4TH	El Paso	437	1,420	408	69	442	641	3,417
	Teller	16	32	7	0	9	23	87
	Total	453	1,452	415	69	451	664	3,504
5TH	Clear Creek	3	12	3	0	15	11	44
	Eagle	14	31	8	2	65	0	120
	Lake	6	9	5	3	5	7	35
	Summit	14	60	15	12	38	0	139
	Total	37	112	31	17	123	18	338
6TH	Archuleta	3	9	0	0	0	3	15
	La Plata	13	36	6	1	42	28	126
	San Juan	0	0	1	0	2	1	4
	Total	16	45	7	1	44	32	145
7TH	Delta	14	18	2	5	21	0	60
	Gunnison	6	12	4	2	24	0	48
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	14	27	16	0	4	40	101
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	2	2	5
	San Miguel	1	4	2	0	4	8	19
	Total	36	62	24	7	55	50	234
8TH	Jackson	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
	Larimer	96	377	193	16	182	198	1,062
	Total	98	378	193	16	182	198	1,065
9TH	Garfield	32	33	37	17	8	92	219
	Pitkin	18	33	23	4	20	124	222
	Rio Blanco	7	6	3	1	4	17	38
	Total	57	72	63	22	32	233	479
10TH	Pueblo	73	136	27	23	59	95	413
11TH	Chaffee	6	15	7	8	11	26	73
	Custer	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
	Fremont	32	51	25	38	34	110	290
	Park	8	12	4	1	4	10	39
	Total	47	81	36	47	49	146	406

Table 26.

Criminal Offense Filings By Type of Case for FY 1989-90

		Offenses						
		Offenses Against the Person	Offenses Against Property	Offenses Involving Fraud	Offenses Involving Government Operations	Drug and Narcotic Offenses	Other Offenses	Total
Dist.	County	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(e)	
12TH	Alamosa	7	22	11	1	34	0	75
	Conejos	3	4	0	0	2	0	9
	Costilla	2	2	0	0	3	0	7
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	5	9	1	0	12	3	30
	Saguache	4	3	1	0	0	0	8
	Total	21	40	13	1	51	3	129
13TH	Kit Carson	5	4	1	0	7	25	42
	Logan	9	22	12	2	14	38	97
	Morgan	24	30	10	2	47	0	113
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	6	3	9
	Sedgwick	3	4	0	0	0	1	8
	Washington	5	6	2	1	4	0	18
	Yuma	2	7	2	0	6	0	17
	Total	48	73	27	5	84	67	304
14TH	Grand	16	38	12	1	36	0	103
	Moffat	7	14	14	4	8	14	61
	Routt	12	34	13	0	20	9	88
	Total	35	86	39	5	64	23	252
15TH	Baca	4	12	0	0	3	0	19
	Cheyenne	10	5	1	0	6	1	23
	Kiowa	4	3	1	0	1	7	16
	Prowers	31	39	18	2	54	12	156
	Total	49	59	20	2	64	20	214
16TH	Bent	3	2	1	0	6	0	12
	Crowley	8	4	1	20	2	2	37
	Otero	36	65	4	4	63	10	182
	Total	47	71	6	24	71	12	231
17TH	Adams	199	593	123	36	316	557	1,824
18TH	Arapahoe	147	672	295	47	289	289	1,739
	Douglas	29	71	25	3	31	3	162
	Elbert	3	7	2	0	6	21	39
	Lincoln	3	9	2	2	2	4	22
	Total	182	759	324	52	328	317	1,962
19TH	Weld	159	487	125	19	228	160	1,178
20TH	Boulder	139	512	172	26	243	188	1,280
21ST	Mesa	54	149	47	16	98	86	450
22ND	Dolores	1	1	0	0	0	3	5
	Montezuma	9	30	8	0	8	40	95
	Total	10	31	8	0	8	43	100
State Total		2,459	7,115	2,062	618	3,788	4,170	20,212
Percent of Total		11.7%	33.8%	9.8%	2.9%	18.0%	19.8%	96.0%

Table 27.

Criminal Offense Terminations By Type of Case for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Offenses						Total
		Offenses Against the Person	Offenses Against Property	Offenses Involving Fraud	Involving Government Operations	Drug and Narcotic Offenses	Other Offenses	
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)		
1ST	Gilpin	4	5	1	2	4	15	31
	Jefferson	142	646	187	1	300	463	1,739
	Total	146	651	188	3	304	478	1,770
2ND	Denver	496	1,310	217	202	871	385	3,481
3RD	Huerfano	10	9	0	8	8	11	46
	Las Animas	15	28	3	1	1	73	121
	Total	25	37	3	9	9	84	167
4TH	El Paso	471	1,502	419	83	512	697	3,684
	Teller	20	41	9	3	17	2	92
	Total	491	1,543	428	86	529	699	3,776
5TH	Clear Creek	1	16	5	1	17	3	43
	Eagle	14	39	15	2	45	46	161
	Lake	7	8	1	1	2	9	28
	Summit	6	41	11	12	13	72	155
	Total	28	104	32	16	77	130	387
6TH	Archuleta	3	6	0	0	0	2	11
	La Plata	16	47	8	3	13	40	127
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
	Total	19	54	8	3	14	42	140
7TH	Delta	14	15	4	2	14	9	49
	Gunnison	10	17	5	2	17	9	60
	Hinsdale	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Montrose	17	27	15	3	4	27	93
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
	San Miguel	2	2	1	0	2	12	19
	Total	43	64	25	7	38	48	225
8TH	Jackson	2	0	1	0	0	5	8
	Larimer	79	357	211	11	168	190	1,016
	Total	81	357	212	11	168	195	1,024
9TH	Garfield	28	44	27	26	8	137	270
	Pitkin	13	28	17	4	10	45	117
	Rio Blanco	3	5	2	0	1	37	48
	Total	44	77	46	30	19	219	435
10TH	Pueblo	71	142	38	17	48	113	429
11TH	Chaffee	10	15	8	6	5	51	95
	Custer	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Fremont	29	44	22	39	16	93	243
	Park	3	12	2	1	1	5	24
	Total	43	72	32	46	22	149	364

Table 27.

Criminal Offense Terminations By Type of Case for FY 1989-90

		Offenses						
		Offenses Against the Person	Offenses Against Property	Offenses Involving Fraud	Offenses Involving Government Operations	Drug and Narcotic Offenses	Other Offenses	Total
Dist.	County	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(e)	
12TH	Alamosa	7	23	9	3	18	59	119
	Conejos	3	4	1	1	3	4	16
	Costilla	1	6	0	0	1	4	12
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	6	5	1	0	4	22	38
	Saguache	2	2	0	0	0	1	5
	Total	19	40	11	4	26	90	190
13TH	Kit Carson	4	2	1	0	1	29	37
	Logan	8	18	8	1	7	35	77
	Morgan	9	27	19	5	21	98	179
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	0	12	13
	Sedgwick	0	1	0	0	0	11	12
	Washington	5	6	0	4	0	20	35
	Yuma	1	11	0	0	3	16	31
	Total	27	66	28	10	32	221	384
14TH	Grand	17	32	16	1	17	55	138
	Moffat	15	16	8	3	5	35	82
	Routt	10	26	15	0	13	17	81
	Total	42	74	39	4	35	107	301
15TH	Baca	4	5	0	0	1	11	21
	Cheyenne	6	7	0	0	1	0	14
	Kiowa	4	1	0	0	0	2	7
	Prowers	16	36	13	1	2	102	170
	Total	30	49	13	1	4	115	212
16TH	Bent	1	1	3	0	2	12	19
	Crowley	5	2	1	14	1	12	35
	Otero	31	52	9	1	13	90	196
	Total	37	55	13	15	16	114	250
17TH	Adams	205	528	135	29	277	480	1,654
18TH	Arapahoe	179	735	314	43	285	352	1,908
	Douglas	19	50	25	2	18	26	140
	Elbert	1	12	0	1	4	18	36
	Lincoln	2	5	1	3	1	9	21
	Total	201	802	340	49	308	405	2,105
19TH	Weld	188	657	185	21	233	167	1,451
20TH	Boulder	152	600	199	25	337	150	1,463
21ST	Mesa	45	144	36	17	73	51	366
22ND	Dolores	0	1	0	0	2	0	3
	Montezuma	10	15	10	0	9	27	71
	Total	10	16	10	0	11	27	74
State Total		2,443	7,442	2,238	605	3,451	4,469	20,648
Percent of Total		11.3%	34.5%	10.4%	2.8%	16.0%	20.7%	95.7%

Table 28. Offense, Non-Offense and Total Criminal Filings for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Total Offense Filings	Municipal and County Court Appeals	Other Non-offense Filings	Total Criminal Filings
1ST	Gilpin	24	1	(a) 2	27
	Jefferson	2,106	37	2	2,145
	Total	2,130	38	4	2,172
2ND	Denver	3,407	0	78	3,485
3RD	Huerfano	58	0	2	60
	Las Animas	109	0	9	118
	Total	167	0	11	178
4TH	El Paso	3,417	34	0	3,451
	Teller	87	1	0	88
	Total	3,504	35	0	3,539
5TH	Clear Creek	44	3	0	47
	Eagle	120	0	19	139
	Lake	35	0	0	35
	Summit	139	0	2	141
	Total	338	3	21	362
6TH	Archuleta	15	1	1	17
	La Plata	126	3	5	134
	San Juan	4	0	0	4
	Total	145	4	6	155
7TH	Delta	60	1	2	63
	Gunnison	48	2	2	52
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	1
	Montrose	101	0	0	101
	Ouray	5	0	0	5
	San Miguel	19	0	1	20
	Total	234	3	5	242
8TH	Jackson	3	0	0	3
	Larimer	1,062	10	44	1,116
	Total	1,065	10	44	1,119
9TH	Garfield	219	8	8	235
	Pitkin	222	1	9	232
	Rio Blanco	38	3	1	42
	Total	479	12	18	509
10TH	Pueblo	413	9	0	422
11TH	Chaffee	73	2	4	79
	Custer	4	0	0	4
	Fremont	290	0	62	352
	Park	39	1	0	40
	Total	406	3	66	475

Table 28. Offense, Non-Offense and Total Criminal Filings for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Total Offense Filings	Municipal and County Court Appeals	Other Non-offense Filings	Total Criminal Filings
				(a)	
12TH	Alamosa	75	1	2	78
	Conejos	9	0	0	9
	Costilla	7	0	0	7
	Mineral	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	30	0	2	32
	Saguache	8	0	1	9
	Total	129	1	5	135
13TH	Kit Carson	42	0	11	53
	Logan	97	0	13	110
	Morgan	113	2	8	123
	Phillips	9	0	0	9
	Sedgwick	8	0	0	8
	Washington	18	0	1	19
	Yuma	17	0	1	18
	Total	304	2	34	340
14TH	Grand	103	2	5	110
	Moffat	61	3	12	76
	Routt	88	2	4	94
	Total	252	7	21	280
15TH	Baca	19	1	4	24
	Cheyenne	23	0	2	25
	Kiowa	16	0	0	16
	Prowers	156	0	6	162
	Total	214	1	12	227
16TH	Bent	12	0	0	12
	Crowley	37	0	9	46
	Otero	182	0	13	195
	Total	231	0	22	253
17TH	Adams	1,824	36	0	1,860
18TH	Arapahoe	1,739	50	1	1,790
	Douglas	162	14	23	199
	Elbert	39	1	3	43
	Lincoln	22	2	1	25
	Total	1,962	67	28	2,057
19TH	Weld	1,178	21	167	1,366
20TH	Boulder	1,280	28	1	1,309
21ST	Mesa	450	3	6	459
22ND	Dolores	5	1	1	7
	Montezuma	95	1	7	103
	Total	100	2	8	110
State Total		20,212	285	557	21,054
Percent of Total		96.0%	1.4%	2.6%	100%

Table 29. Offense, Non-Offense and Total Criminal Terminations for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Total Offense Filings	Municipal and County Court Appeals	Other Non-offense Filings	Total Criminal Terminations
				(a)	
1ST	Gilpin	31	1	0	32
	Jefferson	1,739	43	1	1,783
	Total	1,770	44	1	1,815
2ND	Denver	3,481	0	94	3,575
3RD	Huerfano	46	0	2	48
	Las Animas	121	0	10	131
	Total	167	0	12	179
4TH	El Paso	3,684	33	4	3,721
	Teller	92	0	1	93
	Total	3,776	33	5	3,814
5TH	Clear Creek	43	4	1	48
	Eagle	161	2	37	200
	Lake	28	0	0	28
	Summit	155	0	1	156
	Total	387	6	39	432
6TH	Archuleta	11	0	3	14
	La Plata	127	1	7	135
	San Juan	2	0	0	2
	Total	140	1	10	151
7TH	Delta	49	1	2	52
	Gunnison	60	4	2	66
	Hinsdale	2	1	0	3
	Montrose	93	1	0	94
	Ouray	2	0	0	2
	San Miguel	19	0	1	20
	Total	225	7	5	237
8TH	Jackson	8	0	0	8
	Larimer	1,016	6	36	1,058
	Total	1,024	6	36	1,066
9TH	Garfield	270	7	11	288
	Pitkin	117	1	5	123
	Rio Blanco	48	1	1	50
	Total	435	9	17	461
10TH	Pueblo	429	3	0	432
11TH	Chaffee	95	2	2	99
	Custer	2	0	0	2
	Fremont	243	2	43	288
	Park	24	1	0	25
	Total	364	5	45	414

Table 29. Offense, Non-Offense and Total Criminal Terminations for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Total Offense Filings	Municipal and County Court Appeals	Other Non-offense Filings	Total Criminal Terminations
				(a)	
12TH	Alamosa	119	1	1	121
	Conejos	16	2	2	20
	Costilla	12	0	9	21
	Mineral	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	38	0	2	40
	Saguache	5	0	1	6
	Total	190	3	15	208
13TH	Kit Carson	37	0	7	44
	Logan	77	1	10	88
	Morgan	179	4	13	196
	Phillips	13	1	0	14
	Sedgwick	12	0	0	12
	Washington	35	0	4	39
	Yuma	31	0	1	32
	Total	384	6	35	425
14TH	Grand	138	0	4	142
	Moffat	82	1	11	94
	Routt	81	2	4	87
	Total	301	3	19	323
15TH	Baca	21	0	7	28
	Cheyenne	14	0	2	16
	Kiowa	7	0	0	7
	Prowers	170	0	4	174
	Total	212	0	13	225
16TH	Bent	19	0	0	19
	Crowley	35	0	12	47
	Otero	196	0	10	206
	Total	250	0	22	272
17TH	Adams	1,654	49	0	1,703
18TH	Arapahoe	1,908	76	15	1,999
	Douglas	140	12	14	166
	Elbert	36	1	2	39
	Lincoln	21	2	1	24
	Total	2,105	91	32	2,228
19TH	Weld	1,451	18	194	1,663
20TH	Boulder	1,463	29	3	1,495
21ST	Mesa	366	3	4	373
22ND	Dolores	3	0	0	3
	Montezuma	71	3	6	80
	Total	74	3	6	83
State Total		20,648	319	607	21,574
Percent of Total		95.7%	1.5%	2.8%	100%

COUNTY COURT

THE COUNTY COURT JUDGES

<u>FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>		
Gilpin	Frederic B. Rodgers	Delta	David C. Johnston	Bent		Thomas F. Marmon		
Jefferson	James C. Demlow	Gunnison	Algernon B. Reese III	Crowley		Carl W. Ross*		
	Kim H. Goldberger	Hinsdale	Larry Everett Vickers*	Otero		Ralph N. Wadleigh		
	Francis C. Jackson	Montrose	Richard J. Brown					
	Robert F. Morris		John C. Davidson*					
	Ray G. Olson, Jr.(1)	Ouray	Paul David Smith	Adams				
	Linda T. Palmieri	San Miguel	Sharon E. Shuteran					
<u>SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>		
Denver	Andrew S. Armatas(2)	Jackson	Rex A. Shaw*			Ovid R. Beldock		
	Larry J. Bohning	Larimer	John E. Kochenberger			Emil A. Rinaldi		
	Kathleen Bowers		Don L. Nelson			Sabino E. Romano		
	James B. Breese		Ronald L. Schultz			Jeffrey L. Romeo(1)		
	Doris E. Burd					Robert J. Steinborn		
	Brian Campbell					John J. Vigil		
	Robert B. Crew, Jr.	Garfield						
	Irving Ettenberg							
	Arthur L. Fine	Pitkin						
	Alfred C. Harrell	Rio Blanco						
	Patricia A. Madsen							
	John M. Marcucci(3)							
	Robert Patterson							
	Aleene Ortiz-White	Pueblo						
	Raymond N. Satter							
	Jacqueline St. Joan							
	Edward A. Simons							
<u>THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>		
Huerfano	Robert E. Haeger	Chaffee	Stephen L. Carter=			Geraldine L. Allan		
Las Animas	George A. Newnam	Custer	Victor M. Zerbi, Jr.			Marguerite T. Langstaff		
		Fremont	Fitzhugh Scott III			Ralph C. Taylor		
		Park	Denise A. Bacon=			Alan R. Beckman		
			John D. Herron			Timothy L. Fasing(1)		
						Richard M. Jauch		
						Robert H. Russell		
						Howard G. Allspach		
						Donna M. Kirby*		
						Truston L. Fisher*		
<u>FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>		
El Paso	Caroline Benham		Adele K. Anderson(6)			Alvin A. Borg, Jr.		
	Peter W. Booth	Alamosa	David A. Cole			Scott Clugston		
	Rebecca S. Bromley	Conejos	Gordon R. Cooper			Willis K. Kulp		
	Geoffrey H. deWolfe	Costilla						
	Michael Heydt	Mineral						
	Jerry C. Nelson	Rio Grande						
Teller	Jackson L. Peters(4)	Saguache						
<u>FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>		
Clear Creek	George R. Gaubatz	Kit Carson	David V. Opland			Virginia L. Chavez		
Eagle	Roland L. Gerard*	Logan	Whitney B. Sullivan*			Thomas J. B. Reed		
	Frederick W. Gannett+	Morgan	William G. Fox			David R. Torke		
Lake	Joseph A. Faltor	Phillips	Stanley J. Mayhew			Marsha B. Yeager		
Summit	David R. Lass	Sedgwick						
		Washington						
		Yuma						
<u>SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>		
Archuleta	Bert E. Hyde*		Jean Paul Jones			David L. McKinley		
La Plata	Elizabeth C. Callard		Gordon J. Bosa			Arthur R. Smith		
San Juan	Allen J. Nossaman*(5)		Pattie P. Swift*					
			Robert M. Wardell*					
			Gordon H. Rowe, Jr.					
			Michael H. Trujillo					
<u>SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>		
			J. Curt Penny, Jr.			Bob G. Johnson*		
			Baxter W. Arnold			Sharon L. Hansen		
			Edgar H. Brandenburg					
			David Colver					
			Max Eldon Carlson					
			Kevin L. Hoyer					
			Kent J. Fennie					
<u>EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>FOOTNOTES</u>		
			Scotty P. Krob			* Non-attorney		
			Mary Lynne James			= Associate County Judge		
			James H. Garrecht			+ Assistant County Judge		
						(1) New Judgeship		
						(2) Replaced Federico Alvarez		
						(3) Replaced James D. Urso		
						(4) Replaced Mary Jane Looney		
						(5) Replaced Cynthia Francisco		
						(6) Replaced Eugene T. Halaas		
<u>NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>			<u>FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</u>					
			Daniel L. Mundell*					
			Paul D. Tallman					
			Keith E. Wissel*					
			George J. McLachlan					

Table 30. County Court Filings and Terminations
Compared to Previous Year

	Filings		Percent
	FY 88-89	FY 89-90	Change
Civil	97,216	97,914	0.7%
Small Claims	17,835	16,916	-5.2%
Traffic	144,036	152,052	5.6%
Infractions	67,029	59,593	-11.1%
Misdemeanors	37,105	39,803	7.3%
Felony Complaints	11,953	11,054	-7.5%
Total	375,174	377,332	0.6%

	Terminations		Percent
	FY 88-89	FY 89-90	Change
Civil	94,780	97,127	2.5%
Small Claims	18,166	16,772	-7.7%
Traffic	138,936	142,414	2.5%
Infractions	67,526	58,709	-13.1%
Misdemeanors	34,310	36,913	7.6%
Felony Complaints	10,194	11,708	14.9%
Total	363,912	363,643	-0.1%

County Court Filings and Terminations FY 1980-1990




Table 31.

County Court Caseload - FY 1983-84 to FY 1989-90 *

	83-84	84-85	85-86	86-87	87-88	88-89	89-90
CIVIL							
Cases Pending July 1	24,871	27,508	30,719	33,075	36,199	32,393	34,829
New Cases Filed	65,485	72,174	84,539	93,674	95,913	97,216	97,914
Total Caseload	90,356	99,682	115,258	126,749	132,112	129,609	132,743
Cases Terminated	62,848	68,963	82,183	90,550	99,719	94,780	97,127
Cases Pending June 30	27,508	30,719	33,075	36,199	32,393	34,829	35,616
SMALL CLAIMS							
Cases Pending July 1	7,355	8,022	8,178	8,368	8,912	9,392	9,061
New Cases Filed	16,460	16,739	18,078	17,977	19,034	17,835	16,916
Total Caseload	23,815	24,761	26,256	26,345	27,946	27,227	25,977
Cases Terminated	15,793	16,583	17,888	17,433	18,554	18,166	16,772
Cases Pending June 30	8,022	8,178	8,368	8,912	9,392	9,061	9,205
TRAFFIC							
Cases Pending July 1	59,222	67,808	74,993	79,531	88,510	91,942	97,042
New Cases Filed	130,404	142,858	153,571	163,238	141,473	144,036	152,052
Total Caseload	189,626	210,666	228,564	242,769	229,983	235,978	249,094
Cases Terminated	121,818	135,673	149,033	154,259	138,041	138,936	142,414
Cases Pending June 30	67,808	74,993	79,531	88,510	91,942	97,042	106,680
INFRACTIONS							
Cases Pending July 1	11,022	8,381	9,338	10,407	13,008	17,374	16,877
New Cases Filed	38,268	38,753	41,822	51,594	61,397	67,029	59,593
Total Caseload	49,290	47,134	51,060	62,001	74,405	84,403	76,470
Cases Terminated	40,909	37,896	40,653	48,993	57,031	67,526	58,709
Cases Pending June 30	8,381	9,238	10,407	13,008	17,374	16,877	17,761
MISDEMEANORS							
Cases Pending July 1	20,041	20,756	21,863	22,873	24,160	26,088	28,883
New Cases Filed	30,023	30,895	33,016	33,259	34,571	37,105	39,803
Total Caseload	50,064	51,651	54,879	56,132	58,731	63,193	68,686
Cases Terminated	29,308	29,788	32,006	31,972	32,643	34,310	36,913
Cases Pending June 30	20,756	21,863	22,873	24,160	26,088	28,883	31,773
FELONY COMPLAINTS							
	8,499	8,968	9,556	9,379	9,944	11,953	11,054
TOTAL							
Cases Pending July 1	122,511	132,475	144,991	154,254	169,922	176,101	187,363
New Cases Filed	289,139	310,387	340,582	369,121	362,332	375,174	377,332
Total Caseload	411,650	442,862	485,573	523,375	532,254	551,275	564,695
Cases Terminated	279,175	297,871	331,319	353,453	356,153	363,912	363,643
Cases Pending June 30	132,475	144,991	154,254	169,922	176,101	187,363	201,052

* Does not include Denver County Court.

Table 32.

County Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change from FY 1989 to FY 1990

District	Filings			Terminations		
	FY 88-89	FY 89-90	Percent Change	FY 88-89	FY 89-90	Percent Change
1	46,536	48,041	3.2%	43,988	46,622	6.0%
3	4,635	4,469	-3.6%	4,543	4,552	0.2%
4	48,535	48,631	0.2%	48,913	45,186	-7.6%
5	11,183	11,468	2.5%	10,441	10,908	4.5%
6	4,552	4,676	2.7%	3,694	3,868	4.7%
7	8,191	9,248	12.9%	7,372	8,469	14.9%
8	20,015	20,829	4.1%	19,662	19,734	0.4%
9	6,365	6,990	9.8%	6,281	6,371	1.4%
10	15,029	17,522	16.6%	14,180	15,074	6.3%
11	6,953	7,492	7.8%	6,629	6,910	4.2%
12	6,410	6,646	3.7%	6,270	6,219	-0.8%
13	10,946	10,527	-3.8%	10,744	10,792	0.4%
14	6,674	6,546	-1.9%	6,677	6,437	-3.6%
15	3,641	4,081	12.1%	3,101	4,231	36.4%
16	4,708	4,124	-12.4%	4,717	3,932	-16.6%
17	45,109	44,110	-2.2%	39,010	41,503	6.4%
18	65,406	64,156	-1.9%	67,932	64,985	-4.3%
19	16,879	16,441	-2.6%	17,389	16,270	-6.4%
20	29,889	27,697	-7.3%	29,661	27,589	-7.0%
21	11,133	11,076	-0.5%	10,304	11,374	10.4%
22	2,385	2,562	7.4%	2,404	2,617	8.9%
State Total	375,174	377,332	0.6%	363,912	363,643	-0.1%

Table 33.

County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 1989-90

District	County	Civil		Small Claims		Traffic	
		Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.
1	Gilpin	33	31	13	8	470	449
1	Jefferson	15,120	15,068	2,289	2,256	18,472	17,846
	Total	15,153	15,099	2,302	2,264	18,942	18,295
3	Huerfano	80	59	34	30	833	842
3	Las Animas	364	378	91	85	1,120	1,206
	Total	444	437	125	115	1,953	2,048
4	El Paso	14,551	14,053	2,515	2,591	18,787	16,240
4	Teller	95	123	66	78	847	852
	Total	14,646	14,176	2,581	2,669	19,634	17,092
5	Clear Creek	87	56	45	50	1,739	1,601
5	Eagle	410	343	253	222	1,434	1,402
5	Eagle-Basalt	35	30	29	26	121	140
5	Lake	216	160	61	72	272	249
5	Summit	354	312	218	200	1,377	1,250
	Total	1,102	901	606	570	4,943	4,642
6	Archuleta	122	123	59	53	280	254
6	La Plata	499	385	263	185	1,671	1,217
6	San Juan	8	7	8	6	79	81
	Total	629	515	330	244	2,030	1,552
7	Delta	323	310	185	188	1,162	917
7	Gunnison	286	285	82	82	698	686
7	Hinsdale	3	0	1	1	5	2
7	Montrose	471	484	201	186	1,422	1,213
7	Montrose-Nucla	12	12	10	11	41	34
7	Ouray	16	19	11	13	136	113
7	San Miguel	34	30	40	33	181	158
	Total	1,145	1,140	530	514	3,645	3,123
8	Jackson	7	7	14	15	82	66
8	Larimer-Ft Collins	3,630	3,534	1,145	1,176	5,417	5,104
8	Larimer-Loveland	1,234	1,031	0	0	3,263	3,027
	Total	4,871	4,572	1,159	1,191	8,762	8,197
9	Garfield-Glenwood	532	477	196	182	1,190	1,075
9	Garfield-Rifle	146	156	76	89	628	592
9	Pitkin	318	365	282	363	653	358
9	Rio Blanco-Meeker	17	13	41	37	292	338
9	Rio Blanco-Rangley	18	14	31	28	173	144
	Total	1,031	1,025	626	699	2,936	2,507
10	Pueblo	5,348	5,187	663	591	6,873	5,570
11	Chaffee	202	325	107	129	648	576
11	Custer	17	15	16	16	39	37
11	Fremont	454	413	205	185	2,022	1,354
11	Park	94	106	38	51	410	443
	Total	767	859	366	381	3,119	2,410

Table 33.

County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 1989-90

County	Felony							
	Infractions		Misdemeanors		Complaints		Totals	
	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.
Gilpin	60	66	118	100	24	27	718	681
Jefferson	5,619	5,292	4,126	3,586	1,697	1,893	47,323	45,941
Total	5,679	5,358	4,244	3,686	1,721	1,920	48,041	46,622
Huerfano	715	667	123	110	24	24	1,809	1,732
Las Animas	769	819	198	215	118	117	2,660	2,820
Total	1,484	1,486	321	325	142	141	4,469	4,552
El Paso	6,232	5,737	4,810	4,794	0	0	46,895	43,415
Teller	482	495	210	187	36	36	1,736	1,771
Total	6,714	6,232	5,020	4,981	36	36	48,631	45,186
Clear Creek	884	836	196	208	78	96	3,029	2,847
Eagle	697	805	398	425	189	218	3,381	3,415
Eagle-Basalt	74	69	57	71	6	6	322	342
Lake	125	127	145	112	48	43	867	763
Summit	987	952	758	651	175	176	3,869	3,541
Total	2,767	2,789	1,554	1,467	496	539	11,468	10,908
Archuleta	176	171	70	64	25	19	732	684
La Plata	600	622	480	363	182	182	3,695	2,954
San Juan	117	115	32	14	5	7	249	230
Total	893	908	582	441	212	208	4,676	3,868
Delta	598	546	290	209	107	151	2,665	2,321
Gunnison	734	726	299	298	68	84	2,167	2,161
Hinsdale	7	9	24	16	4	2	44	30
Montrose	636	601	278	295	189	193	3,197	2,972
Montrose-Nucla	24	28	28	27	12	11	127	123
Ouray	192	184	38	34	9	10	402	373
San Miguel	238	147	125	106	28	15	646	489
Total	2,429	2,241	1,082	985	417	466	9,248	8,469
Jackson	60	62	43	42	3	3	209	195
Larimer-Ft Collins	2,399	2,400	2,393	2,224	0	0	14,984	14,438
Larimer-Loveland	0	0	1,139	1,043	0	0	5,636	5,101
Total	2,459	2,462	3,575	3,309	3	3	20,829	19,734
Garfield-Glenwood	618	598	219	175	0	0	2,755	2,507
Garfield-Rifle	343	338	185	159	0	0	1,378	1,334
Pitkin	252	239	253	112	0	0	1,758	1,437
Rio Blanco-Meeker	293	277	28	43	0	0	671	708
Rio Blanco-Rangley	164	158	42	41	0	0	428	385
Total	1,670	1,610	727	530	0	0	6,990	6,371
Pueblo	1,979	1,840	2,046	1,594	613	292	17,522	15,074
Chaffee	632	640	325	307	95	138	2,009	2,115
Custer	8	6	58	44	0	0	136	118
Fremont	780	735	496	534	0	0	3,957	3,221
Park	460	438	294	326	94	92	1,390	1,456
Total	1,880	1,819	1,171	1,211	189	230	7,492	6,910

Table 33.

County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 1989-90

District	County	Civil		Small Claims		Traffic	
		Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.
12	Alamosa	309	303	90	102	1,115	1,079
12	Conejos	43	43	66	60	264	307
12	Costilla	38	38	22	22	192	164
12	Mineral	2	3	1	1	44	47
12	Rio Grande	109	121	65	71	995	771
12	Saguache	43	40	42	42	164	139
	Total	544	548	286	298	2,774	2,507
13	Kit Carson	127	99	31	29	578	629
13	Logan	609	608	107	117	1,127	1,307
13	Morgan	1,053	1,140	140	185	1,728	1,672
13	Phillips	41	44	22	21	83	84
13	Sedgwick	24	18	26	18	102	71
13	Washington	83	64	46	42	206	187
13	Yuma	170	171	48	49	223	234
	Total	2,107	2,144	420	461	4,047	4,184
14	Grand	134	152	94	108	525	482
14	Moffat-Craig	265	275	69	80	502	522
14	Moffat-Dinosaur *	1	1	5	4	32	29
14	Routt	355	342	158	171	892	928
	Total	755	770	326	363	1,951	1,961
15	Baca	32	34	48	43	240	219
15	Cheyenne	25	23	24	23	186	120
15	Kiowa	11	9	4	5	101	103
15	Prowers	332	435	104	80	1,406	1,643
	Total	400	501	180	151	1,933	2,085
16	Bent	37	40	47	48	188	211
16	Crowley	14	12	24	19	186	124
16	Otero	737	681	162	158	1,245	1,135
	Total	788	733	233	225	1,619	1,470
17	Adams	15,575	14,246	1,219	916	19,707	17,933
18	Arapahoe-Littleton	7,147	8,226	973	985	9,201	9,638
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	11,935	12,860	1,002	1,061	9,327	9,040
18	Douglas	859	823	307	336	4,064	3,204
18	Elbert	113	143	73	97	390	431
18	Lincoln	74	75	29	26	798	718
	Total	20,128	22,127	2,384	2,505	23,780	23,031
19	Weld	3,373	3,491	692	693	6,889	6,891
20	Boulder	4,784	4,436	969	955	11,147	11,623
20	Boulder-Longmont	2,317	2,162	274	246	0	0
	Total	7,101	6,598	1,243	1,201	11,147	11,623
21	Mesa	1,757	1,877	494	565	4,308	4,052
22	Dolores	5	4	7	7	47	53
22	Montezuma	245	177	144	149	1,013	1,188
	Total	250	181	151	156	1,060	1,241
	State Total	97,914	97,127	16,916	16,772	152,052	142,414

* Court in Dinosaur closed effective 3/22/90.

Table 33.

County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 1989-90

County	Felony							
	Infractions		Misdemeanors		Complaints		Totals	
	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.	Filing	Term.
Alamosa	450	438	641	679	223	212	2,828	2,813
Conejos	82	84	223	186	28	36	706	716
Costilla	137	119	160	142	32	32	581	517
Mineral	44	34	11	7	5	5	107	97
Rio Grande	575	529	120	90	108	108	1,972	1,690
Saguache	83	70	79	54	41	41	452	386
Total	1,371	1,274	1,234	1,158	437	434	6,646	6,219
Kit Carson	555	501	71	83	26	27	1,388	1,368
Logan	1,212	1,338	150	229	1	1	3,206	3,600
Morgan	711	754	461	329	0	0	4,093	4,080
Phillips	118	120	14	16	1	1	279	286
Sedgwick	116	116	24	18	10	7	302	248
Washington	224	215	47	35	1	5	607	548
Yuma	156	160	50	43	5	5	652	662
Total	3,092	3,204	817	753	44	46	10,527	10,792
Grand	677	580	608	554	141	138	2,179	2,014
Moffat-Craig	273	271	251	309	130	115	1,490	1,572
Moffat-Dinosaur *	87	88	3	3	0	0	128	125
Routt	868	849	355	327	121	109	2,749	2,726
Total	1,905	1,788	1,217	1,193	392	362	6,546	6,437
Baca	215	211	52	42	0	0	587	549
Cheyenne	292	237	29	20	0	0	556	423
Kiowa	73	81	5	3	0	0	194	201
Prowers	694	746	208	154	0	0	2,744	3,058
Total	1,274	1,275	294	219	0	0	4,081	4,231
Bent	220	256	45	37	0	0	537	592
Crowley	136	104	44	39	0	0	404	298
Otero	565	609	474	459	0	0	3,133	3,042
Total	921	969	563	535	0	0	4,124	3,932
Adams	3,979	4,162	2,570	2,343	1,060	1,903	44,110	41,503
Arapahoe-Littleton	3,232	3,255	1,911	1,574	856	996	23,320	24,674
Arapahoe-Aurora	3,399	3,262	883	851	957	996	27,503	28,070
Douglas	4,391	4,516	968	705	298	215	10,887	9,799
Elbert	305	288	127	121	41	44	1,049	1,124
Lincoln	360	367	114	111	22	21	1,397	1,318
Total	11,687	11,688	4,003	3,362	2,174	2,272	64,156	64,985
Weld	2,382	2,341	3,105	2,854	0	0	16,441	16,270
Boulder	2,704	2,860	3,345	3,406	2,157	1,901	25,106	25,181
Boulder-Longmont	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,591	2,408
Total	2,704	2,860	3,345	3,406	2,157	1,901	27,697	27,589
Mesa	1,672	1,761	2,049	2,319	796	800	11,076	11,374
Dolores	65	60	7	4	4	7	135	135
Montezuma	587	582	277	238	161	148	2,427	2,482
Total	652	642	284	242	165	155	2,562	2,617
State Total	59,593	59,709	39,803	36,913	11,054	11,708	377,332	363,643

* Court in Dinosaur closed effective 3/22/90.

Table 34. Court and Jury Trials in County Courts for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Traffic		Misdemeanor		Civil		Small Claims	Total	
		Court	Jury	Court	Jury	Court	Jury	Court	Court	Jury
1	Gilpin	1	3	1	1	5	0	7	14	4
	Jefferson	112	83	81	11	246	7	744	1,183	101
	Total	113	86	82	12	251	7	751	1,197	105
3	Huerfano	21	0	5	1	11	0	10	47	1
	Las Animas	8	2	3	7	15	0	24	50	9
	Total	29	2	8	8	26	0	34	97	10
4	El Paso	58	81	26	55	229	9	907	1,220	145
	Teller	2	4	4	1	4	1	14	24	6
	Total	60	85	30	56	233	10	921	1,244	151
5	Clear Creek	5	10	0	1	7	1	8	20	12
	Eagle	7	17	5	5	25	0	48	85	22
	Lake	8	5	16	2	12	0	7	43	7
	Summit	19	11	19	15	23	0	59	120	26
	Total	39	43	40	23	67	1	122	268	67
6	Archuleta	4	2	2	1	27	1	17	50	4
	La Plata	5	0	6	5	12	2	47	70	7
	San Juan	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1
	Total	11	2	8	7	39	3	64	122	12
7	Delta	21	4	5	2	28	1	28	82	7
	Gunnison	15	2	0	1	4	0	7	26	3
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	63	4	9	0	23	0	30	125	4
	Ouray	3	2	4	1	6	0	3	16	3
	San Miguel	1	18	0	8	2	0	35	38	26
	Total	103	30	18	12	63	1	103	287	43
8	Jackson	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	0
	Larimer	53	22	60	12	137	6	313	563	40
	Total	65	22	60	12	137	6	314	576	40
9	Garfield	29	20	10	3	49	0	56	144	23
	Pitkin	93	5	24	3	144	0	262	523	8
	Rio Blanco	4	1	2	1	7	0	12	25	2
	Total	126	26	36	7	200	0	330	692	33
10	Pueblo	9	12	10	8	137	1	128	284	21
11	Chaffee	78	8	33	1	16	0	62	189	9
	Custer	3	0	4	0	5	1	5	17	1
	Fremont	16	0	11	6	9	0	12	48	6
	Park	55	14	37	5	37	0	27	156	19
	Total	152	22	85	12	67	1	106	410	35

Table 34. Court and Jury Trials in County Courts for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	Traffic		Misdemeanor		Civil		Small Claims	Total	
		Court	Jury	Court	Jury	Court	Jury	Court	Court	Jury
12	Alamosa	18	6	12	0	5	0	19	54	6
	Conejos	8	2	5	0	2	0	12	27	2
	Costilla	6	1	1	0	21	0	6	34	1
	Mineral	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Rio Grande	6	0	1	0	10	0	11	28	0
	Saguache	32	0	27	0	29	0	39	127	0
	Total	70	9	48	0	67	0	87	272	9
13	Kit Carson	25	0	6	0	2	0	1	34	0
	Logan	43	2	2	0	28	0	31	104	2
	Morgan	1	0	1	1	6	0	2	10	1
	Phillips	10	0	1	0	1	0	1	13	0
	Sedgwick	14	2	5	0	3	0	3	25	2
	Washington	11	0	2	0	1	0	3	17	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	3	0	7	10	0
	Total	104	4	17	1	44	0	48	213	5
14	Grand	11	5	7	8	6	0	15	39	13
	Moffat	8	2	13	4	9	0	24	54	6
	Routt	15	7	6	8	37	0	100	158	15
	Total	34	14	26	20	52	0	139	251	34
15	Baca	6	1	3	1	1	0	3	13	2
	Cheyenne	55	4	10	0	1	0	14	80	4
	Kiowa	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	0
	Prowers	12	5	8	1	21	0	19	60	6
	Total	80	10	21	2	23	0	37	161	12
16	Bent	2	0	0	0	3	0	8	13	0
	Crowley	1	0	6	0	0	0	3	10	0
	Otero	5	4	3	2	21	0	32	121	6
	Total	8	4	9	2	24	0	103	144	6
17	Adams	83	98	81	43	568	8	409	1,141	149
18	Arapahoe	149	78	18	7	470	4	763	1,400	89
	Douglas	14	29	11	5	20	3	84	129	37
	Elbert	13	21	7	3	49	0	40	109	24
	Lincoln	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	4	1
	Total	176	129	36	15	540	7	890	1,642	151
19	Weld	43	17	24	25	99	3	262	428	45
20	Boulder	48	35	17	12	222	2	473	760	49
21	Mesa	52	31	28	20	89	2	160	329	53
22	Dolores	21	1	2	0	3	0	4	30	1
	Montezuma	3	21	0	3	5	0	25	33	24
	Total	24	22	2	3	8	0	29	63	25
State Total		1,429	703	686	300	2,956	52	5,510	10,581	1,055

Table 35.

Number and Percentage Distribution of County Court Civil Case Filings - Money Amount for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	----Under \$1,000----		----\$1,000-5,000----		-----Non-money-----		Total Number
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
1ST	Gilpin	6	18.2%	14	42.4%	13	39.4%	33
	Jefferson	11,579	76.6%	3,513	23.2%	28	0.2%	15,120
	Total	11,585	76.5%	3,527	23.3%	41	0.3%	15,153
3RD	Huerfano	51	63.8%	20	25.0%	9	11.3%	80
	Las Animas	205	56.3%	78	21.4%	81	22.3%	364
	Total	256	57.7%	98	22.1%	90	20.3%	444
4TH	El Paso	9,880	67.9%	3,304	22.7%	1,367	9.4%	14,551
	Teller	31	32.6%	21	22.1%	43	45.3%	95
	Total	9,911	67.7%	3,325	22.7%	1,410	9.6%	14,646
5TH	Clear Creek	42	48.3%	36	41.4%	9	10.3%	87
	Eagle	112	27.3%	147	35.9%	151	36.8%	410
	Eagle-Basalt	1	2.9%	14	40.0%	20	57.1%	35
	Lake	148	68.5%	50	23.1%	18	8.3%	216
	Summit	136	38.4%	137	38.7%	81	22.9%	354
	Total	439	39.8%	384	34.8%	279	25.3%	1,102
6TH	Archuleta	31	25.4%	43	35.2%	48	39.3%	122
	La Plata	160	32.1%	209	41.9%	130	26.1%	499
	San Juan	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	8
	Total	191	30.4%	254	40.4%	184	29.3%	629
7TH	Delta	169	52.3%	45	13.9%	109	33.7%	323
	Gunnison	179	62.6%	75	26.2%	32	11.2%	286
	Hinsdale	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	Montrose	265	56.3%	94	20.0%	112	23.8%	471
	Montrose-Nucla	4	33.3%	3	25.0%	5	41.7%	12
	Ouray	7	43.8%	3	18.8%	6	37.5%	16
	San Miguel	11	32.4%	12	35.3%	11	32.4%	34
	Total	635	55.5%	234	20.4%	276	24.1%	1,145
8TH	Jackson	3	42.9%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	7
	Larimer-Ft Collins	2,435	67.1%	949	26.1%	246	6.8%	3,630
	Larimer-Loveland	817	66.2%	335	27.1%	82	6.6%	1,234
	Total	3,255	66.8%	1,286	26.4%	330	6.8%	4,871
9TH	Garfield-Glenwood	236	44.4%	136	25.6%	160	30.1%	532
	Garfield-Rifle	33	22.6%	51	34.9%	62	42.5%	146
	Pitkin	59	18.6%	121	38.1%	138	43.4%	318
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	3	17.6%	8	47.1%	6	35.3%	17
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	9	50.0%	6	33.3%	3	16.7%	18
	Total	340	33.0%	322	31.2%	369	35.8%	1,031
10TH	Pueblo	3,813	71.3%	1,244	23.3%	291	5.4%	5,348
11TH	Chaffee	95	47.0%	46	22.8%	61	30.2%	202
	Custer	7	41.2%	6	35.3%	4	23.5%	17
	Fremont	194	42.7%	99	21.8%	161	35.5%	454
	Park	37	39.4%	22	23.4%	35	37.2%	94
	Total	333	43.4%	173	22.6%	261	34.0%	767

Table 35.

Number and Percentage Distribution of County Court Civil Case Filings - Money Amount for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	----Under \$1,000----		----\$1,000-5,000----		----Non-money----		Total Number
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
12TH	Alamosa	145	46.9%	101	32.7%	63	20.4%	309
	Conejos	13	30.2%	7	16.3%	23	53.5%	43
	Costilla	1	2.6%	9	23.7%	28	73.7%	38
	Mineral	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	Rio Grande	49	45.0%	23	21.1%	37	33.9%	109
	Saguache	18	41.9%	10	23.3%	15	34.9%	43
	Total	227	41.7%	151	27.8%	166	30.5%	544
13TH	Kit Carson	84	66.1%	39	30.7%	4	3.1%	127
	Logan	466	76.5%	129	21.2%	14	2.3%	609
	Morgan	747	70.9%	247	23.5%	59	5.6%	1,053
	Phillips	28	68.3%	13	31.7%	0	0.0%	41
	Sedgwick	19	79.2%	3	12.5%	2	8.3%	24
	Washington	62	74.7%	17	20.5%	4	4.8%	83
	Yuma	118	69.4%	37	21.8%	15	8.8%	170
	Total	1,524	72.3%	485	23.0%	98	4.7%	2,107
14TH	Grand	54	40.3%	54	40.3%	26	19.4%	134
	Moffat-Craig	194	73.2%	50	18.9%	21	7.9%	265
	Moffat-Dinosaur	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
	Routt	192	54.1%	106	29.9%	57	16.1%	355
	Total	440	58.3%	210	27.8%	105	13.9%	755
15TH	Baca	22	68.8%	8	25.0%	2	6.3%	32
	Cheyenne	18	72.0%	6	24.0%	1	4.0%	25
	Kiowa	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	0	0.0%	11
	Prowers	249	75.0%	74	22.3%	9	2.7%	332
	Total	296	74.0%	92	23.0%	12	3.0%	400
16TH	Bent	21	56.8%	4	10.8%	12	32.4%	37
	Crowley	7	50.0%	2	14.3%	5	35.7%	14
	Otero	579	78.6%	110	14.9%	48	6.5%	737
	Total	607	77.0%	116	14.7%	65	8.2%	788
17TH	Adams	10,535	67.6%	3,831	24.6%	1,209	7.8%	15,575
18TH	Arapahoe-Littleton	2,442	34.2%	2,196	30.7%	2,509	35.1%	7,147
	Arapahoe-Aurora	4,848	40.6%	2,329	19.5%	4,758	39.9%	11,935
	Douglas	396	46.1%	267	31.1%	196	22.8%	859
	Elbert	34	30.1%	31	27.4%	48	42.5%	113
	Lincoln	37	50.0%	26	35.1%	11	14.9%	74
	Total	7,757	38.5%	4,849	24.1%	7,522	37.4%	20,128
19TH	Weld	1,604	47.6%	1,174	34.8%	595	17.6%	3,373
20TH	Boulder	1,876	39.2%	929	19.4%	1,979	41.4%	4,784
	Boulder-Longmont	1,407	60.7%	512	22.1%	398	17	2,317
	Total	3,283	46.2%	1,441	20.3%	2,377	33.5%	7,101
21ST	Mesa	884	50.3%	456	26.0%	417	23.7%	1,757
22ND	Dolores	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	5
	Montezuma	87	35.5%	71	29.0%	87	35.5%	245
	Total	88	35.2%	74	29.6%	88	35.2%	250
STATE TOTAL		58,003	59.2%	23,726	24.2%	16,185	16.5%	97,914

Table 36. Number and Percent Distribution of County Court
Small Claims Filings - Money Amount for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	-----\$0 - \$1,000-----		-----\$1,001 - \$2,000---		Total Number
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
1ST	Gilpin	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	13
	Jefferson	1,489	65.1%	800	34.9%	2,289
	Total	1,499	65.1%	803	34.9%	2,302
3RD	Huerfano	27	79.4%	7	20.6%	34
	Las Animas	65	71.4%	26	28.6%	91
	Total	92	73.6%	33	26.4%	125
4TH	El Paso	1,665	66.2%	850	33.8%	2,515
	Teller	30	45.5%	36	54.5%	66
	Total	1,695	65.7%	886	34.3%	2,581
5TH	Clear Creek	31	68.9%	14	31.1%	45
	Eagle	181	71.5%	72	28.5%	253
	Eagle-Basalt	20	69.0%	9	31.0%	29
	Lake	51	83.6%	10	16.4%	61
	Summit	147	67.4%	71	32.6%	218
	Total	430	71.0%	176	29.0%	606
6TH	Archuleta	47	79.7%	12	20.3%	59
	La Plata	173	65.8%	90	34.2%	263
	San Juan	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	Total	227	68.8%	103	31.2%	330
7TH	Delta	148	80.0%	37	20.0%	185
	Gunnison	52	63.4%	30	36.6%	82
	Hinsdale	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	Montrose	157	78.1%	44	21.9%	201
	Montrose-Nucla	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	10
	Ouray	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	11
	San Miguel	28	70.0%	12	30.0%	40
	Total	406	76.6%	124	23.4%	530
8TH	Jackson	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	14
	Larimer-Ft Collins	794	69.3%	351	30.7%	1,145
	Larimer-Loveland	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	806	69.5%	353	30.5%	1,159
9TH	Garfield-Glenwood	140	71.4%	56	28.6%	196
	Garfield-Rifle	65	85.5%	11	14.5%	76
	Pitkin	194	68.8%	88	31.2%	282
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	34	82.9%	7	17.1%	41
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	24	77.4%	7	22.6%	31
	Total	457	73.0%	169	27.0%	626
10TH	Pueblo	467	70.4%	196	29.6%	663
11TH	Chaffee	84	78.5%	23	21.5%	107
	Custer	12	75.0%	4	25.0%	16
	Fremont	147	71.7%	58	28.3%	205
	Park	27	71.1%	11	28.9%	38
	Total	270	73.8%	96	26.2%	366

Table 36.

Number and Percent Distribution of County Court Small Claims Filings – Money Amount for FY 1989-90

Dist.	County	-----\$0 - \$1,000-----		-----\$1,001 - \$2,000----		Total
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
12TH	Alamosa	66	73.3%	24	26.7%	90
	Conejos	54	81.8%	12	18.2%	66
	Costilla	18	81.8%	4	18.2%	22
	Mineral	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	Rio Grande	49	75.4%	16	24.6%	65
	Saguache	37	88.1%	5	11.9%	42
	Total	225	78.7%	61	21.3%	286
13TH	Kit Carson	28	90.3%	3	9.7%	31
	Logan	88	82.2%	19	17.8%	107
	Morgan	122	87.1%	18	12.9%	140
	Phillips	18	81.8%	4	18.2%	22
	Sedgwick	21	80.8%	5	19.2%	26
	Washington	41	89.1%	5	10.9%	46
	Yuma	40	83.3%	8	16.7%	48
	Total	358	85.2%	62	14.8%	420
14TH	Grand	52	55.3%	42	44.7%	94
	Moffat-Craig	55	79.7%	14	20.3%	69
	Moffat-Dinosaur	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	5
	Routt	126	79.7%	32	20.3%	158
	Total	238	73.0%	88	27.0%	326
15TH	Baca	42	87.5%	6	12.5%	48
	Cheyenne	17	70.8%	7	29.2%	24
	Kiowa	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4
	Prowers	78	75.0%	26	25.0%	104
	Total	141	78.3%	39	21.7%	180
16TH	Bent	38	80.9%	9	19.1%	47
	Crowley	21	87.5%	3	12.5%	24
	Otero	135	83.3%	27	16.7%	162
	Total	194	83.3%	39	16.7%	233
17TH	Adams	590	48.4%	629	51.6%	1,219
18TH	Arapahoe-Littleton	576	59.2%	397	40.8%	973
	Arapahoe-Aurora	616	61.5%	386	38.5%	1,002
	Douglas	160	52.1%	147	47.9%	307
	Elbert	51	69.9%	22	30.1%	73
	Lincoln	21	72.4%	8	27.6%	29
	Total	1,424	59.7%	960	40.3%	2,384
19TH	Weld	486	70.2%	206	29.8%	692
20TH	Boulder	676	69.8%	293	30.2%	969
	Boulder-Longmont	195	71.2%	79	28.8%	274
	Total	871	70.1%	372	29.9%	1,243
21ST	Mesa	347	70.2%	147	29.8%	494
22ND	Dolores	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7
	Montezuma	118	81.9%	26	18.1%	144
	Total	124	82.1%	27	17.9%	151
STATE TOTAL		11,347	67.1%	5,569	32.9%	16,916



WATER COURT

Table 37.

**Colorado Water Courts
Filings, Claims and Percent Change
FY 1988-89 to FY 1989-90**

	County	City	FY 88-89	FY 89-90	Percent Change
Division One	Weld	Greeley			
Filings			262	264	0.8%
Claims			1,057	1,012	-4.3%
Division Two	Pueblo	Pueblo			
Filings			79	82	3.8%
Claims			451	640	41.9%
Division Three	Alamosa	Alamosa			
Filings			40	53	32.5%
Claims			99	175	76.8%
Division Four	Montrose	Montrose			
Filings			226	203	-10.2%
Claims			434	347	-20.0%
Division Five	Garfield	Glenwood Springs			
Filings			362	331	-8.6%
Claims			989	1,881	90.2%
Division Six	Routt	Steamboat Springs			
Filings			208	157	-24.5%
Claims			440	351	-20.2%
Division Seven	La Plata	Durango			
Filings			94	120	27.7%
Claims			162	265	63.6%
State Total					
Filings			1,271	1,210	-4.8%
Claims			3,632	4,671	28.6%

**Water Court
Filings and Terminations FY 1980-1990**




Table 38.

Water Courts Caseload - FY 1983-84 to FY 1989-90

	FY 83-84	FY 84-85*	FY 85-86	FY 86-87*	FY 87-88	FY 88-89	FY 89-90
Division One							
Cases Pending July 1	1,187	1,231	1,407	1,554	1,646	1,611	935
New Cases Filed	402	608	471	341	322	262	264
Total Caseload	1,589	1,839	1,878	1,895	1,968	1,873	1,199
Cases Terminated	511	432	350	249	357	938	439
Cases Pending June 30	1,078	1,407	1,528	1,646	1,611	935	760
Division Two							
Cases Pending July 1	324	335	379	308	234	165	124
New Cases Filed	141	202	122	114	95	79	82
Total Caseload	465	537	501	422	329	244	206
Cases Terminated	133	158	209	88	164	117	94
Cases Pending June 30	332	379	292	234	165	124	112
Division Three							
Cases Pending July 1	414	310	318	174	91	48	64
New Cases Filed	92	171	51	37	65	40	53
Total Caseload	506	481	369	211	156	88	117
Cases Terminated	176	163	195	120	108	69	43
Cases Pending June 30	330	318	174	91	48	64	74
Division Four							
Cases Pending July 1	487	319	536	387	256	255	268
New Cases Filed	245	531	227	275	254	226	203
Total Caseload	732	850	763	662	552	481	471
Cases Terminated	348	314	393	364	297	213	235
Cases Pending June 30	384	536	370	298	255	268	236
Division Five							
Cases Pending July 1	557	657	925	859	749	764	409
New Cases Filed	421	706	672	345	501	362	331
Total Caseload	978	1,363	1,597	1,204	1,250	1,126	740
Cases Terminated	386	438	738	455	486	717	448
Cases Pending June 30	592	925	859	749	764	409	292
Division Six							
Cases Pending July 1	229	227	215	162	132	118	160
New Cases Filed	220	217	210	162	146	208	157
Total Caseload	449	444	425	324	278	326	317
Cases Terminated	265	229	264	192	160	166	204
Cases Pending June 30	184	215	161	132	118	160	113
Division Seven							
Cases Pending July 1	273	280	391	310	199	185	184
New Cases Filed	167	245	117	107	95	94	120
Total Caseload	440	525	508	417	294	279	304
Cases Terminated	136	134	199	218	109	96	127
Cases Pending June 30	304	391	309	199	185	184	177
Total							
Cases Pending July 1	3,471	3,359	4,171	3,754	3,349	3,146	2,144
New Cases Filed	1,688	2,680	1,870	1,381	1,478	1,271	1,210
Total Caseload	5,159	6,039	6,041	5,135	4,827	4,417	3,354
Cases Terminated	1,955	1,868	2,348	1,786	1,681	2,316	1,590
Cases Pending June 30	3,204	4,171	3,693	3,349	3,146	2,101	1,764

* FY 1985 and 1987 pending totals adjusted due to audit of open cases

PROBATION

3

3

3

3

3

3

3

3

3

3

3

Table 39.

Supervision and Investigation Caseloads Totals FY 1984-85 to FY 1989-90

							Percent Change FY 1988-89 to FY 1989-90
	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	FY 86-87	FY 87-88*	FY 88-89	FY 89-90	
Adult							
On Supervision July 1	16,208	16,638	16,335	13,863	14,532	17,728	22.0%
New Cases	10,462	10,585	8,298	9,745	11,893	13,113	10.3%
Terminations	10,032	10,980	10,177	9,076	8,697	10,196	17.2%
On Supervision June 30	16,638	16,243	14,456	14,532	17,728	20,645	16.5%
Total Investigations	10,938	11,743	8,214	8,618	10,630	11,294	6.2%
Juvenile							
On Supervision July 1	4,906	4,942	5,116	5,530	5,313	5,760	8.4%
New Cases	4,059	4,501	4,529	4,499	4,940	5,367	8.6%
Terminations	4,023	4,370	4,043	4,716	4,493	4,723	5.1%
On Supervision June 30	4,942	5,073	5,602	5,313	5,760	6,342	10.1%
Total Investigations	9,596	9,865	9,002	6,030	6,881	7,231	5.1%
Total							
On Supervision July 1	21,114	21,580	21,451	19,393	19,845	23,488	18.4%
New Cases	14,521	15,086	12,827	14,244	16,833	18,480	9.8%
Terminations	14,055	15,350	14,220	13,792	13,190	14,919	13.1%
On Supervision June 30	21,580	21,316	20,058	19,845	23,488	27,049	15.2%
Total Investigations	20,534	21,608	17,216	14,648	17,511	18,525	5.8%

* Due to case audit July 1, 1988 supervision cases have been adjusted

Probation Total Caseload New Cases, Terminations and Investigations FY 1980-1990




Table 40. Probation Department Supervision Caseloads
by District FY 1989-90

		On Supervision July 1	New Cases	Terminations	On Supervision June 30
District					
1st	Adult	1,432	1,119	823	1,728
	Juvenile	464	427	456	435
2nd	Adult	2,428	1,923	1,466	2,885
	Juvenile	1,170	719	685	1,204
3rd	Adult	174	215	108	281
	Juvenile	39	32	42	29
4th	Adult	2,033	1,083	649	2,467
	Juvenile	465	465	323	607
5th	Adult	375	304	197	482
	Juvenile	56	71	62	65
6th	Adult	164	157	81	240
	Juvenile	69	71	9	69
7th	Adult	314	185	166	333
	Juvenile	94	56	71	79
8th	Adult	696	407	315	788
	Juvenile	393	357	341	409
9th	Adult	295	224	160	359
	Juvenile	58	66	62	62
10th	Adult	808	643	486	965
	Juvenile	209	351	250	310
11th	Adult	334	293	178	449
	Juvenile	141	177	135	183
12th	Adult	169	125	108	186
	Juvenile	52	64	75	41
13th	Adult	282	260	173	369
	Juvenile	42	82	47	77
14th	Adult	299	213	184	328
	Juvenile	52	58	62	48
15th	Adult	96	100	51	145
	Juvenile	13	23	13	23
16th	Adult	283	95	63	315
	Juvenile	103	63	54	112
17th	Adult	1,552	1,332	1,136	1,748
	Juvenile	548	629	522	655
18th	Adult	2,768	2,099	1,793	3,074
	Juvenile	879	721	698	902
19th	Adult	1,091	792	797	1,086
	Juvenile	293	253	250	296
20th	Adult	1,328	1,187	928	1,587
	Juvenile	421	573	430	564
21st	Adult	699	281	279	701
	Juvenile	184	94	124	154
22nd	Adult	108	76	55	129
	Juvenile	15	15	12	18
State	Adult	17,728	13,113	10,196	20,645
	Juvenile	5,760	5,367	4,723	6,342

Table 41.

District Court Adult Probation Investigation FY 1989-90

Dist.	Felony	Misdemeanor	DJ-DS	35b	Domestic	Other	Total
	PSI	PSI			Relations		
1	1,017	83	0	0	0	74	1,174
2	2,154	388	0	0	0	0	2,542
3	74	2	0	0	2	1	79
4	1,228	176	0	60	0	47	1,511
5	193	44	15	1	0	11	264
6	62	9	49	1	0	3	124
7	87	9	20	0	0	2	118
8	520	11	0	16	0	1	548
9	244	16	60	0	0	1	321
10	255	21	0	0	0	15	291
11	175	35	4	1	0	1	216
12	90	15	0	0	0	0	105
13	118	23	0	2	0	25	168
14	98	16	31	0	0	22	167
15	51	0	0	0	0	0	51
16	82	1	10	0	0	19	112
17	622	296	11	0	0	102	1,031
18	998	113	0	0	83	92	1,286
19	295	0	0	0	0	34	329
20	403	14	0	0	0	4	421
21	300	4	0	0	0	89	393
22	16	2	22	0	0	3	43
Total	9,082	1,278	222	81	85	546	11,294

Table 42.

County Court Adult Probation Investigation FY 1989-90

Dist.	Misdemeanor	DJ-DS	N/DU	Other	Total
	PSI		Traffic		
1	135	0	0	15	150
2	0	0	0	0	0
3	25	4	0	3	32
4	3	0	0	2	5
5	48	0	1	0	49
6	44	3	0	1	48
7	7	0	0	0	7
8	12	0	0	0	12
9	8	1	0	0	9
10	85	0	1	37	123
11	47	0	0	0	47
12	49	0	1	0	50
13	7	0	1	0	8
14	14	0	0	0	14
15	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	4	0	4
17	352	6	3	89	450
18	118	0	0	36	154
19	7	0	0	1	8
20	18	0	2	3	23
21	10	0	0	3	13
22	25	4	0	2	31
Total	1,014	18	13	192	1,237

Table 43.

Juvenile Probation Investigations by District FY 1989-90

Dist.	Preliminary	Intake	Detention	Pre Disp	Pre Plea	Transfer	Custody	Other	Total
1	0	0	0	384	0	0	0	15	399
2	0	0	2,072	1,256	73	3	0	267	3,671
3	2	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	24
4	36	0	0	505	0	1	0	3	545
5	0	0	0	52	4	0	0	2	58
6	35	0	1	36	0	0	0	5	77
7	6	0	0	47	0	0	0	0	53
8	0	0	0	183	0	0	0	0	183
9	0	0	0	87	11	0	0	0	98
10	0	0	0	304	0	0	0	2	306
11	0	0	0	70	3	0	0	2	75
12	5	96	9	15	0	0	0	0	125
13	2	0	0	54	0	1	2	9	68
14	0	0	0	51	0	0	0	3	54
15	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
16	0	10	0	56	0	0	0	1	67
17	7	199	0	178	1	3	0	1	389
18	6	0	0	185	0	2	0	25	218
19	0	0	0	255	174	0	0	0	429
20	0	0	0	172	3	0	0	0	175
21	0	0	62	129	0	0	0	0	191
22	2	0	4	12	0	0	0	0	18
									0
Total	101	305	2,148	4,061	269	10	2	335	7,231

Table 44. Adult Average Distribution of Active Caseload
by Supervision For FY 1989-90

Dist.	New	IIS	Maximum	Medium	Minimum	Admin
1	5.8%	4.6%	9.2%	35.7%	15.6%	29.0%
2	6.2%	2.0%	12.1%	34.4%	31.0%	14.2%
3	7.9%	0.0%	17.3%	43.1%	10.6%	15.4%
4	3.9%	2.2%	5.7%	32.3%	26.8%	29.1%
5	5.4%	0.9%	3.9%	26.7%	28.4%	34.6%
6	6.0%	1.0%	9.1%	22.8%	32.8%	27.8%
7	4.2%	1.6%	7.8%	30.1%	23.9%	31.9%
8	4.0%	2.3%	4.6%	26.4%	28.4%	33.8%
9	13.0%	0.0%	16.8%	31.6%	19.6%	19.4%
10	6.6%	3.8%	13.1%	38.8%	13.2%	24.9%
11	4.7%	0.8%	3.2%	31.6%	26.1%	33.9%
12	3.1%	0.0%	4.4%	35.6%	31.6%	25.6%
13	6.9%	0.1%	6.3%	31.8%	21.7%	32.7%
14	4.2%	0.7%	4.0%	30.7%	25.1%	34.9%
15	6.7%	0.0%	3.9%	37.8%	25.1%	26.2%
16	3.9%	0.0%	13.4%	32.3%	23.2%	26.7%
17	6.6%	2.0%	8.3%	33.2%	19.9%	29.7%
18	5.2%	2.8%	4.5%	31.4%	23.1%	33.3%
19	3.4%	1.7%	5.0%	31.7%	24.4%	33.8%
20	6.8%	1.4%	7.1%	33.7%	22.5%	28.3%
21	3.4%	2.5%	8.1%	34.5%	33.5%	18.3%
22	5.0%	1.9%	2.8%	32.4%	22.9%	34.9%
Percent	5.6%	1.5%	7.8%	32.9%	24.1%	28.1%

Table 45. Juvenile Average Distribution of Active Caseload
by Supervision For FY 1989-90

Dist.	New	Maximum	Medium	Minimum	Admin
1	7.8%	6.9%	35.4%	35.8%	13.6%
2	2.0%	22.3%	16.7%	21.6%	37.2%
3	7.6%	18.0%	26.1%	13.1%	35.5%
4	9.2%	16.1%	22.2%	22.6%	30.3%
5	9.3%	9.1%	28.1%	37.5%	16.4%
6	7.7%	15.4%	19.2%	35.4%	22.7%
7	5.8%	18.5%	22.0%	38.5%	15.6%
8	8.3%	16.3%	27.9%	14.5%	33.4%
9	9.0%	10.4%	19.1%	26.2%	35.7%
10	12.9%	17.4%	27.0%	32.2%	10.9%
11	6.7%	2.7%	16.5%	39.6%	34.9%
12	12.2%	5.3%	25.7%	44.2%	12.9%
13	11.2%	10.3%	19.6%	42.1%	16.7%
14	9.6%	5.2%	29.4%	38.1%	18.0%
15	10.1%	2.2%	27.7%	32.2%	28.1%
16	5.9%	8.6%	19.4%	31.8%	34.5%
17	8.6%	5.4%	21.6%	26.0%	38.5%
18	7.0%	4.9%	22.3%	31.8%	34.4%
19	7.6%	17.9%	17.3%	18.9%	38.5%
20	8.4%	8.7%	27.9%	23.3%	31.5%
21	4.4%	21.4%	31.1%	18.6%	24.4%
22	10.7%	15.2%	50.6%	10.8%	13.1%
Percent	8.3%	11.7%	25.1%	28.9%	26.2%

Table 46.

Financial Services Summary
Fiscal Year 1989-1990
Accrued Revenue to the State

GENERAL FUND

Tax - Civil Cases	\$225,620
Copy Work, Certifications.	420,626
Water Case Filing Fees	165,458
Civil Filing Fees	9,659,500
Probate Fees	718,542
Water Case Mailings	45,309
Judgment Fees	26,946
Jury Fees	751,544
Criminal Fees, Court Costs, Bond Forfeitures	3,320,977
Probation Fees	1,349,397
Partial Attorney Fees Paid by Indigents	93,331
Felony, Misdemeanor Fines	362,521
Fish and Game Fines (50%)	74,611
Miscellaneous Fees and Fines	409,778
Unclaimed Funds	518,362
Collection Service Fees	-7,203

Sub Total \$18,135,319

HIGHWAY USER'S TRUST FUND

Traffic Fines and Forfeitures	\$5,367,544
D.U.I. - Outside City Limits	285,575
D.U.I. - Inside City Limits	622,861
Collection Service Fee	-2,232

Sub Total \$6,273,748

WILDLIFE FUND

Fish and Game Fines (50%)	\$74,611
Collection Service Fee	-124

Sub Total \$74,487


Restitution	\$5,607,744
Victim Assistance	4,104,569
Victim Compensation	5,411,524
Municipality & County Share of Fees & Fines Collected	2,790,879

Total All Revenues \$42,406,270

Table 47. Colorado State Budget – Appropriations
Fiscal Year 1990-91

Department	Total
Administration	72,020,703
Agriculture	14,586,342
Corrections	155,593,299
Education	1,266,470,657
Governor Planning and Budgeting	52,812,123
Health	141,711,953
Higher Education	933,522,366
Highways	437,843,961
Institutions	319,299,277
Judicial	113,139,762
Labor & Employment	70,514,774
Law	20,282,804
Legislature	21,267,327
Local Affairs	94,532,352
Military Affairs	4,198,889
Natural Resources	77,709,143
Personnel	13,660,223
Public Safety	76,373,016
Regulatory Agencies	32,880,600
Revenue	83,308,265
Social Services	1,098,874,565
State	4,936,390
Treasury	2,561,376
Capital Construction	255,203,790
Total	5,363,303,957

Colorado State Budget Appropriations FY 1990-91



ENDNOTES

- TABLES 16 - 17
- a Separate petitions for custody proceedings, not to be confused with custody hearings with dissolution actions.
 - b Petitions under the Uniform Reciprocal Support Act.
 - c Includes Determination of Status and other miscellaneous cases.
- TABLES 18 - 19
- a Includes Quiet Titles, Condemnations, Replevins, Foreclosures, Mechanics' Liens.
 - b Orders Authorizing Sales Under Powers.
 - c Includes reviews of P.U.C. and other administrative or regulatory agencies, cases involving city and county government.
 - d Includes Habeas Corpus, Mandamus and other Remedial Writs, Injunctions.
- TABLES 20 - 21
- a Includes both juvenile and adult guardianships.
 - b Includes foreign wills, separate trusteeships.
- TABLES 22 - 23
- a Does not include Informal Adjustment cases handled by the probation department.
 - b Includes Child Abuse cases.
 - c Includes Paternity only, Support only, and Paternity and Support cases.
 - d Includes Guardianships, Contributing to Delinquency, Requests for Marriage.
- TABLES 24 - 25
- a Petition for Evaluation.
 - b Includes Short and Long-Term Treatment Admission.
 - c Petition for Involuntary Commitment of Alcoholic.
 - d Includes Other, Petition for Sterilization, and Objection to Admission or Retention.
- TABLES 26 - 27
- a Includes murder, manslaughter, assault, rape.
 - b Includes arson, burglary, theft, robbery.
 - c Includes forgery, fraud by credit card, bad checks.
 - d Includes escape and offenses relating to custody, bribery and corrupt influences, perjury and other Article 8 offenses.
 - e Includes offenses involving the Family Relation; Offenses Relating to Morals; Offenses Against Public Peace, Order, and Decency; Criminal Non-Support.
- TABLES 28 - 29
- a Includes extraditions, revocations, parole and release from commitment hearings.